#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SUSAN B. LONG	:	) )
and	;	) )
DAVID BURNHAM	;	Civil Action No. 17-cv-00506
	Plaintiffs,	) )
<b>v.</b>		) )
U.S. IMMIGRATION AND CUSTO ENFORCEMENT	MS	) ) )
	Defendant.	) )

#### DECLARATION OF PATRICIA J. DE CASTRO, Ph.D.

I, Patricia J. de Castro, Ph.D., pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746, hereby declares as follows:

#### INTRODUCTION

- 1. I am an Operations Research Analyst within Enforcement and Removal Operations ("ERO"), Law Enforcement Systems Analysis ("LESA"), Data Driven Management Unit, at U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement. I have held this position since April 2018. As an Operations Research Analyst, my responsibilities include working with a team of analysts, statisticians, detention and deportation officers, program analysts, and mission support staff to support data-driven management and FOIA processes and litigation.
- 2. Prior to my work at ICE, I worked in the U.S. Department of Energy Loan

  Programs Office. There I provided strategic planning, operations and staff planning, budget

  planning, standard procedures development, enterprise risk management and internal controls,

  and other operations expertise for a \$45 billion loan portfolio comprising advanced and emergent

technology energy projects. Prior to that I taught secondary math courses for approximately 20 years.

- I hold a doctorate degree in University/Business Administration and have expertise in business processes—particularly those of loosely-coupled entities such as many government agencies and universities.
- 4. I have been tasked with maintaining LESA efforts to more fully support the ICE Office of the Principal Legal Advisor ("OPLA") in FOIA litigation responses, due to the recent increase in the quantity, complexity, and pace of FOIA requests, along with any associated litigations.
- 5. I make this declaration in my official capacity based on my personal knowledge, my review of records kept by ICE in the ordinary course of business, and information provided to me by other ICE employees in the course of my official duties.
- 6. This Declaration supplements and supports the previous Declarations of Marla Jones made in this case dated November 8, 2017 and January 25, 2018.

#### LAW ENFORCEMENT MISSION / OPERATIONS SECURITY

7. U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement is a law enforcement agency with more than 20,000 personnel in more than 400 offices in the United States and around the world. The agency's investigative authorities are related to our country's efforts to combat terrorism at home and abroad. ERO partners closely with Homeland Security Investigations ("HSI") to prevent terrorist groups, illicit procurement networks, and hostile nations from acquiring U.S. military hardware, sensitive technical data, dual-use technology, and materials used to develop weapons of mass destruction. Immigration enforcement is the largest single area of responsibility for ERO and is a critical component of the overall safety, security, and well-being

of our nation. The ICE vision reads: "We use our unique and powerful combination of law enforcement authorities to close vulnerabilities that can be exploited to harm our homeland in the real and virtual worlds." ICE stands at the forefront of our nation's efforts to strengthen border security and prevent the illegal movement of people, goods, and funds into, within, and out of the United States.

- 8. Most data on ICE computer systems is law enforcement sensitive, also known as sensitive but unclassified. Unauthorized disclosure or misuse of this law enforcement data would increase risk to the physical safety of ICE employees and contractors, immigration attorneys and judges, and the U.S. public. The ICE database is used for monitoring active federal criminal investigations, maintenance of investigative records related to the execution of arrests and other enforcement actions, the detection and apprehension of criminals, adjudication proceedings, and the housing, transportation, and safekeeping of aliens in our custody.
- 9. ERO personnel safeguard this data, manage ERO records as a national asset, and protect critical agency information. ERO must ensure operations security ("OPSEC") and public safety by anticipating and attempting to mitigate risks to the operation and the U.S. from bad actors. An example of OPSEC in daily life is the measures one might take when leaving home for a vacation. One might anticipate that their home would be a more likely target for bad actors if newspapers and mail piled up, the lawn went unattended, and lights were out. For that reason, one would deny adversaries any such indicators by having a neighbor gather newspapers and mail, place lights on a timer, and hire a lawn care service. The same is true with ICE data security. The ICE mission would be placed in jeopardy if bad actors gained access to information about federal law enforcement techniques and procedures which would enable them to circumvent the law. Therefore, ICE personnel must take reasonable steps to anticipate and

mitigate risk--to ensure that ICE data are used appropriately. One of those steps is to ensure that FOIA requesters are given all required records without jeopardizing either privacy or law enforcement interests.

#### PAST DATA PRODUCTION FOR PLAINTIFFS

- 10. ERO personnel have made every effort, over several years, to accommodate Plaintiffs' many hundreds of complex FOLA requests. ERO comes to this Court with clean hands and a strong record of good faith in prior production of data—including years' worth of voluminous and regularly requested data--even when such production was discretionary, unduly burdensome, required analysis and calculations, answered questions, or required the creation of new records. Our principled staff members have worked diligently to allow the public, and Plaintiffs, access to every record that should be released through the FOIA.
- 11. From 2011 to July 2016, ERO analysts discretionarily performed analysis, research, and creation of new records to fulfill Plaintiffs' FOIA requests. Plaintiffs have consistently requested data in a format which does not correspond to the structure of IIDS (ICE Integrated Decision Support) ("the database") 1: they seek to follow an *individual* through the immigration law enforcement system--but the database is not structured to produce data in that format, and data requested cannot be produced from the database without analysis, calculations, and the creation of new records. Plaintiffs' multivariate requests, in this case involving individuals for whom a Detainer was issued, and with more than 100 data points and sub-data points for that population of interest, means ERO analysts would be required to take disparate data sets and create new, temporary "matches" between data that exist in separate modules in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Court notes the distinction between the EID and IIDS, but for the sake of clarity, this discussion refers to IIDS or "the database." Plaintiffs note that the differences between EID and IIDS "do not affect Plaintiffs' current requests for relief." (Dkt. No. 19-12 at 9 n.4).

database. As described more fully below, the database structure was designed for law enforcement operations, ERO reporting requirements for Congress and the President, and to accommodate all FOIA requests that do not require new correlations, analysis, calculations, or the creation of new records.

12. Some of the data that Plaintiffs request is readily available and was already produced; however, Plaintiffs have insisted that ERO either (1) create new correlations of data that are not correlated in the database--so Plaintiffs can follow individuals through the system, or (2) provide data with associated random unique IDs--so Plaintiffs can conduct the required analysis and calculations themselves to follow the individual through the system. The FOIA does not require ERO to create new data combinations/correlations, nor to create new random unique IDs connecting individuals for Plaintiffs' interests. In addition, Plaintiffs' assertion that they prefer to "conduct any required analysis ourselves" belies the fact that either of the above options would require ERO to create something new for Plaintiffs first. In option (1), ERO would have to create new data combinations through extensive programming, analysis, calculations, and the creation of new reports; and in option (2), ERO would have to create and maintain new randomized unique IDs, after creating data connections between events for individuals. Not only would generating randomized unique IDs constitute the creation of new records; it would also constitute a cybersecurity risk (discussed below), and would be exempt under FOIA Exemptions 7(A), 7(C), 7(E), and/or 7(F). It would also require the maintenance of those random unique IDs, and would ultimately not enable Plaintiffs to achieve their goal of following an individual through the system because cybersecurity concerns would require that individuals be assigned different random unique IDs in ensuing productions.

Plaintiffs are mistaken when they assert that any data is "missing" in this case. 13. They imply that because ERO produced similar data for a Removals law enforcement action in the DC FOIA case (17-cv-1097), the same data is "connected" to a Detainer law enforcement action. That is not so. Different data is collected and entered when different law enforcement actions occur. We have produced data to Plaintiffs in this case that is collected for an individual when a Detainer law enforcement action occurs. Where different detainer forms have slightly different data entered, we have indicated that clearly in Exhibit A, which lays out all requested data and all provided data.

#### AGENCY DECISION TO STOP CREATING NEW RECORDS

- 14. Due to a precipitous (90%) increase in the overall volume and complexity of FOIA requests submitted to ERO in the past two years, ERO now lacks the personnel and computational resources to perform beyond the requirements of the FOIA by creating new records. ERO has simply stopped being able to go beyond the FOIA requirements to continue creating new records or conducting analysis for Plaintiffs. This change in approach is based purely on increases in FOIA requests and resource limitations. We must meet FOIA requirements for all requesters. This necessitates balancing the needs of other requesters with Plaintiffs' needs for data, as well as balancing personnel resources with FOIA tasks and other mission-essential tasks.
- ERO and the ICE Office of the Principal Legal Advisor ("OPLA") briefed the 15. ERO Executive Associate Director ("EAD") on July 29, 2016, regarding Plaintiffs' FOIA requests, and ERO's resource and personnel constraints. OPLA advised ERO on the legal requirements of the FOIA, including that the FOIA does not require the creation of records, the conduct of analysis or research, nor does it require the agency to answer questions, and

recommended that ERO stop doing so on FOIA requests. The ERO EAD concurred with OPLA's recommendation. The decision had nothing to do with politics and, contrary to Plaintiffs' assertions, this change in the agency's position did not take place on or after January 20, 2017. The decision was made more than three months before the presidential election which occurred on November 8, 2016, and was based solely on the FOIA statute and the FOIA case law, neither of which require agencies to answer questions or create records for a FOIA request. From that day forward (July 29, 2016), ERO LESA began implementing the agency's new posture. Because FOIA requests were in various stages of completion at that time, as well as a large backlog that existed at the time, the discretionary responses did not stop at the same exact time, and the change in discretionary responses slowly rolled out after that date.

- 16. ERO supports the full production of records required under the FOIA—for Plaintiffs and all members of the public. Plaintiffs have relied on and demanded compliance with the FOIA. ERO is also entitled to follow the FOIA, as written, and not be required to extend its efforts for Plaintiffs beyond those required by the FOIA—as we have done since 2011. Doing so has caused disruption of ERO analysts' ability to fulfill their operational mission: producing official ERO and ICE reporting for senior ICE administrators, Congress, and the President. Plaintiffs' complex queries have exceeded the computational power of ERO's extremely large database, often causing it to "crash," and have used such a high percentage of analysts' time as to cause substantial difficulty in maintaining the schedule of regular official reporting and ad-hoc reporting for members of Congress and the President.
- 17. Plaintiffs' assertions regarding the two related FOIA actions before the United States District Court for the District of Columbia (cases 17-cv-01097 and 14-cv-109), and differences between what was produced there and what can be produced here, are seriously

misguided. Plaintiff Long states accurately, "Each action may have separate related forms and specific recorded information..." - the DC case concerns removal actions and removals data, and this case concerns detainers and detainers data. However, Plaintiff seems to believe the opposite of what is true regarding the database when she says, "...the population of individuals subject to detainers and those subject to removal are almost by definition interrelated.... Indeed, it would thwart ICE's stated operational objectives if the data were maintained separately for each action than consolidated for each person." Id. When a removal action occurs, officers enter removal information, plus a few other data items, into the database. Removal actions and Detainers are indeed "unconnected" in different modules of the database, and data associated with each is not the same. Detainers are forms placed on an individual in law enforcement custody. A detainer form is often placed on an individual that never comes into ICE custody. Data associated with Removals actions can be produced for Removals requests; data associated with Detainers can be produced for Detainers requests. Although Plaintiffs seem to imply that data related to removals can be produced for detainers, that is not the case. The database is not structured that way. Creating a "connection" between data for different law enforcement actions requires ERO to conduct analysis, calculations, and create new records.

18. The DC cases are unrelated to this case except for Plaintiffs' continuous demand for data correlations that do not exist but for analysis, calculations, and the creation of records. One DC case (17-cv-1097) tests whether correlating all individuals' enforcement history with ICE based upon Removals associated with ICE's Secure Communities program constitutes analysis, calculations, and/or the creation of records when the database is not structured in a manner that would correlate items Plaintiffs wish to be correlated. The other seeks the ICE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Reply Declaration of Susan B. Long, Document 25-1, p. 12.

database schema (14-cv-109), which the agency has argued is Law Enforcement Sensitive and exempt from production pursuant to FOIA Exemption (b)(7)(E). Removals actions and Detainers are not "connected" in the database; different data is collected with these different actions. Because the database is event-centric, these actions are not "connected." Plaintiffs seem to imply that, in this litigation, data that was formerly produced is now "missing." That is not true. Detainer information has been fully produced. There is no data that was formerly produced and did not require analysis, calculations, connections, or the creation of the new records, that is not being produced here.

19. Plaintiffs' Reply Declaration illustrates Plaintiffs' misunderstanding of the database. Plaintiff Long stated that: "the source of the records is the same database, which ICE has explained is person-centric, or designed to provide information about each individual who becomes a part of an ICE investigation or action, from notices and detainers to potential deportation." It is simply untrue that the IIDS ("the database") is person-centric; the statements in Marla Jones' Declaration described the EID, which does not have a reporting capability.<sup>4</sup> Marla Jones stated that "[t]he EID provides users with the capability to access a personcentric...view of the data." [Emphasis added.] In other words, ICE personnel have some capability to view some data for one individual in the EID manually--but ICE cannot report from the EID. The ICE database (IIDS) pulls data from the EID, but IIDS contains no master "individual" record that allows ERO to pull up all actions related to that individual. The database is structured to track law enforcement actions. When Plaintiffs seek data and information about following an individual through the system, we must conduct analysis and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Reply Declaration of Susan B. Long, Document 25-1, p. 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Declaration of Marla Jones, Document 15-1, p. 3, para 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Id.

Filed 04/29/19

calculations and create new records because law enforcement actions regarding individuals exist uncorrelated to each other in our database.

#### PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTS ARE UNDULY BURDENSOME

- 20. The LESA/STU Unit is responsible for responding to LESA FOIA requests, in addition to their primary mission: preparing official ERO and ICE reports for senior ERO and ICE administrators, Congress, and the President. Those official reports must be prepared regularly and on schedule. In addition, LESA/STU also must prepare official ad-hoc reports for any member of Congress or the President regarding ICE or ERO operations or events.
- 21. LESA/STU recently analyzed its hours spent responding to Plaintiffs' requests. In FY17, Plaintiffs' FOIA requests required LESA/STU personnel to expend approximately 1,912 hours—50% of all hours worked by the entire unit (at that time eight people; assuming a 40-hour work week). In FY18, Plaintiffs' 553 FOIA requests (261 assigned to LESA/STU) required LESA/STU personnel to expend approximately 2,451 hours--approximately 57% of all hours worked by the entire unit (at that time nine people); and in the first seven months of FY19 to date, Plaintiffs' 224 complex FOIA requests (152 assigned to LESA/STU) --still requesting case-by-case data--have already required ERO personnel to expend approximately 1,807 hours approximately 65% of all hours worked by the entire unit of ten people. These figures are approximate and modest--and exclude all legal personnel hours for responding to Plaintiffs' appeals and multiple litigations, and ICE and ERO enterprise FOIA personnel hours for assigning FOIA requests, tracking requests, and administering necessary redactions and lawsuits. Please understand that this massive expenditure of time and resources is WITHOUT the agency discretionarily conducting analysis and calculations and creating new records for FOIA

requestors not required by the FOIA, which the agency stopped on July 29, 2016, as described above in Paragraph 15.

- 22. ERO not only lacks the resources and personnel to dedicate more than 64% of all STU staff hours to Plaintiffs; they must also respond to other FOIA requesters, in addition to fulfilling ERO's primary law enforcement mission: creating regular official reports for the agency, Congress, and the President. The amount of time ERO has dedicated to Plaintiffs' numerous and complex requests, and responding to Plaintiffs' lawsuits, is simply no longer possible unless LESA/STU abandons its mission and makes its primary mission conducting analysis for Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs' numerous and byzantine requests (for data far beyond FOIA requirements, and trending upward in number and complexity) meet the threshold of "unduly burdensome," and are monopolizing LESA resources needed for other mission-critical tasks.
- 23. The database is exceedingly large and has computational power to conduct all operationally-required data reporting. When statisticians have been required to design new adhoc queries (that are not maintained or updated—and will not work in the future as the data source changes) to discretionarily create new, multifactorial data connections for Plaintiffs, the computer has many times "crashed"—in other words, its computational power was exceeded. The ICE database was not designed, and cannot be used, to create complex new connections for Plaintiffs, because causing the computer to "crash" would substantially interfere with the agency's computer system and therefore has a direct, negative impact on the ICE mission. When this occurs, STU/LESA database user must exit the system, wait for a reboot, and re-try the work being attempted before the "crash." Simply put, there are hard limitations to the ICE database, which cannot handle the new data correlations that must be created to respond to Plaintiffs' byzantine requests. The FOIA does not require ICE to burden its computational capacity to the

extent that the computer "crashes," which substantially interferes with the agency's computer system.

24. ERO has decided to stop creating discretionary data since it no longer has the resources to go beyond the requirements of the FOIA, but also because the number, as well as the complexity, of Plaintiffs' requests is so great as to cause STU analysts to be unable to conduct other regular operations. Plaintiffs' requests often seek correlations for a particular population of individuals and time period, with greater than 100 items and sub-items, in a format that the database architecture does not support.

# DATABASE STRUCTURE, THE "FOIA RESTAURANT," AND WHY PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTS CANNOT BE COMPLETELY ACCOMMODATED

- 25. This Court has found that this discussion refers to the IIDS ("the database").<sup>6</sup> The database was designed to be used for decision support and strategic planning purposes. It maintains information in distinct modules (law enforcement actions), i.e., detention, detainer, removal--versus tracking individuals. The information therein is granular and detailed but is not neatly arranged to track individuals and their enforcement history, such as all detainers, all removals, etc. within ERO--although Plaintiffs' requests consistently seek information tracking individuals and their enforcement history.
- 26. When an ICE law enforcement action occurs, officers input information that is needed operationally for that event. For example, Detainer entries comprise data from the detainer form, plus a few other details (See Exhibit B, Exhibit C, and Exhibit D). The Detainer entries will not contain information related to future events that had not even occurred yet, such as future arrests or future departures, or other data not needed operationally at that moment in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Memorandum Decision and Order, Document 32, filed 9/27/18, at p. 4, footnote 3.

time, for that Detainer. An individual who has numerous Detainers will have numerous Detainer entries, unconnected to each other in the database. The same is true for other law enforcement actions, i.e., arrests, removals, detention: there is not one comprehensive master record that allows ERO to pull up all enforcement history (i.e., all arrests or book-ins) related to that individual. This database structure, based on law enforcement actions, was designed to allow STU/LESA to prepare and publish regular summary reports regarding law enforcement actions-for the awareness of the public, ICE leadership, for Congress, and for the President, as well as ad-hoc reports for ICE leadership, members of Congress and the President. Simply put, it was designed for law enforcement operations. *Plaintiffs regularly request more data from ICE than any member of Congress or the President of the United States*.

27. Plaintiffs assert that STU/LESA analysts are withholding not only data formerly discretionarily provided, but also data related to Detainers which are subject to the FOIA. This is not so. ERO is compelled to respond to this false allegation due to TRAC's allegations in the D.C. litigation (17-cv-1097) which is being misused to confuse the Court here. Information reported from a detainer form is entirely different than information recorded and reported when removing an individual. Plaintiffs are incorrect when they tell this Court that the database is set up to "centralize the data and allow the agency to follow an individual through its entire enforcement process." This is the opposite of what ICE requires for its operations, and precisely why the database is set up to maintain data regarding actions, and not follow the individual through the system. The only differences here remain the type of detainer or notification form that was requested in each request.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Reply Declaration of Susan B. Long, Document 25-1, p. 12.

- 28. ERO statisticians have only the tools and database as they exist. The ICE database has limited computational power. The data is not arranged by individuals, but by law enforcement actions (i.e., detainers, removals). It was designed for the needs of a law enforcement agency and fulfills ERO's operational needs, in addition to fulfilling the needs of FOIA requestors who do not seek analysis or creation of new records. To provide the data to Plaintiffs in the manner requested would require ICE to far exceed the requirements of the FOIA.
- In an attempt to create an analogy that I hope can shed light on this complex 29. FOIA request, at an easier to understand level, pulling data from the database can be likened to a "FOIA Restaurant," where any entrée (data points entered when a law enforcement action occurs) on the menu (database) can be ordered. In the "FOIA Restaurant," FOIA rules apply. Customers may not substitute items or ask for items to be added to an entrée—the entrée exists in the database with only the ingredients (data points) listed, and no other ingredients. A customer may ask for a side order of one ingredient (data point), but it must be served by itself on a plate. A customer may ask for another side order, but it must also be served by itself as well, uncombined with other side orders—since combining ingredients anew requires the chef (STU personnel) to blend ingredients (data points) that were not blended already (analysis), and thus create a new entrée (create new records) that does not appear on the menu (database). However, the customer may combine their own ingredients (data points) however they would like. The "FOIA Restaurant" has limited personnel and operating hours, so ordering dozens or hundreds of entrées at once (unduly burdensome and would substantially interfere with the agency's computer system) causes the chefs (STU personnel) to be so engaged that they are unable to serve other customers (conducting their mission, or other FOIA requesters) or cause the kitchen equipment to overload ("crash"). The "FOIA Restaurant" is not required to reveal its

recipes (database structure) or its cooking methods (law enforcement techniques and procedures).

- 30. When Plaintiffs ask for the Detainers (entrée) from the "menu," STU/LESA personnel can easily prepare that "entrée." Officers enter a combination of data (entrée) when a detainer occurs, and that "entrée" appears in the database (menu). However, no extra data points (ingredients) appear in the database associated with that Detainer (entrée), i.e., an arrest that occurred after a Detainer. Simply put, a detainer entered into the database contains no information about what happened following that detainer. Therefore, when Plaintiffs seek a Detainer (entrée) associated with other law enforcement actions (entrées)--such as an arrest following a detainer--they are asking for multiple new correlations to be created (new entrées) to be made from the database (menu) to follow individuals through each of those events (multiple entrées to all be combined into one big, new entrée that does not appear on the menu). This requires much analysis, calculations, work, and computational power due to the database limitations and structure. The FOIA "menu" (aka database) is the tool available, as-is, to the agency (Restaurant) to fully comply with the FOIA. The "FOIA Restaurant" cannot be required to create new data combinations (entrées) or new single menu items (data points) that do not exist, combined with other menu items (data points), into new "entrees" on the menu (database). Plaintiffs' requests, including the instant ones and others pending, clearly meet the threshold for requiring analysis and requiring the creation of new records. The "FOIA Restaurant" has met its requirements.
- 31. When a FOIA requester asks for non-exempt information related to a particular law enforcement action (entrée) (i.e., Detainers), the "FOIA Restaurant" can easily and quickly produce the data (entrée). When a FOIA requester asks for non-exempt event information

related to a different action (entrée), i.e., Detention, the "FOIA Restaurant" can easily and quickly produce that entrée as well.

- 32. However, creating multiple new connections (entrées) is exceedingly burdensome and requires creation of complex new ad-hoc queries which can be used only once (because when data update, the query no longer works), analysis, and thus the creation of a new, temporary combined record (entrée). That is what ERO statisticians face each time Plaintiffs request seeks not only one intersection, but dozens to hundreds of new sub-intersections, which do not automatically intersect in the ICE database, and for which multiple new, temporary computer programs and hundreds of hours are required, just to create a temporary new record for Plaintiffs.
- transforms ERO into a giant computer research firm captive to the whims of Plaintiffs at great public expense (a "FOIA Restaurant" where one customer uses 65% of all the chefs' time). The amount of time that has been required to conduct these analyses, because Plaintiffs have insisted on information about individuals that does not exist as requested, has been unduly burdensome by any standard—and most certainly meets that threshold when Plaintiffs' FOIA requests currently require 65% of all personnel hours of the LESA/STU Unit, as is currently the case (10 LESA/STU Personnel, assuming 40 hours/week). As stated previously in Paragraph 21, this massive expenditure of time and resources for Plaintiffs' requests is WITHOUT the agency discretionarily conducting analysis and calculations and creating new records for other FOIA requestors not required by the FOIA, which the agency stopped on July 29, 2016. If the agency were required to exceed the FOIA requirements, this 65% of all personnel hours of the LESA/STU Unit would increase even further.

- the analysis, calculations, and the creation of *new records* as described above (offering substitutions and/or items that do not appear on the menu), that would essentially require ICE, which is now at the center of confounding political disquietude nationwide, to (1) exceed the requirements of the FOIA and use more than 65% of all personnel hours of the LESA/STU Unit, essentially turning the LESA/STU Unit into a giant computer research firm; or (2) be forced to develop or purchase an entirely new computer program or system (new menu), at great expense (likely several million dollars), for FOIA requesters who seek data not needed for enterprise operations, but following individuals. Plaintiffs' collection of data regarding individuals also contributes to cybersecurity risk, as well as PII risk, for the individuals named. Individuals in our database carry privacy protections, and some require legal protections associated with being asylum seekers or informants. Also included in the database are terrorists, international gang members, and other violent criminals. At least half the data in the database is considered law enforcement-sensitive and thus subject to FOIA exclusion.
- 35. Ultimately, the problem is that Plaintiffs seek data (and extensive data connections) concerning individuals, and the ICE database structure does not accommodate that format. When informed of this fact, Plaintiffs have numerous times offered to accept data with randomized unique ID numbers for individuals, with the stated intention of assembling the requested data intersections (to construct the "individual") on their own. These randomized unique ID numbers first would have to be created, and then maintained by ERO, which exceeds the requirements of the FOIA. Even if it did not exceed the requirements of the FOIA, the production and release of randomized unique ID numbers constitutes cybersecurity risk.

  Modern technology allows re-identification/de-encryption of data with relative ease. This is

particularly true if the same randomized unique ID numbers were used *more than once*—at *maximum cybersecurity risk*—which is the only way Plaintiffs could achieve their goal of following the individual through the ICE system. I remind this Honorable Court that the ICE database contains individuals who are involved in terrorism, international gangs, and other serious crimes—as well as individuals in need of protection, including informants and asylum seekers. Producing randomized unique IDs for Plaintiffs (or other requesters) would introduce significant risk that bad actors could use de-encrypted information regarding individuals, law enforcement techniques, and procedures for investigation, prosecutions, and detention to increase the attack vectors available to the enemies of the United States, and endanger the safety of our officers, special agents, and the general public—along with the people in the immigration system who require privacy and protections. The "FOIA Restaurant" does not owe (and is not required by the FOIA to provide) Plaintiffs created randomized/encrypted data (exposes its recipes or techniques.)

36. Plaintiffs have alleged in this case that ERO has stated that the database does not contain items requested by Plaintiffs. That statement is true to the extent that it relates to data connections that do not exist in the database (substitutions not appearing on the menu) that Plaintiffs request. Although Plaintiffs are valued members of the public that ERO proudly serves, ERO must maintain its mission focus and not extend its efforts beyond the requirements of the FOIA. Although ICE has tried to explain these issues to Plaintiffs on many occasions, Plaintiffs continue to not understand the complexity of the ICE database. The database is not neatly organized in a way Plaintiffs seemingly would have designed it. Rather, it is based on law enforcement actions, and is not person-centric. The ICE "FOIA Restaurant" gladly produces any existing, non-exempt data for Plaintiffs from all the "entrées" on the "menu."

- 37. The ultimate solution would be for Plaintiffs to seek data (entrées) which ERO has explained IS readily available in the ICE database (menu). Attorney Keegan's assertion in the hearing dated September 18, 2018, that "... ICE's databases are set up to centralize the data and allow an agency to follow the individual throughout the entire process" is untrue. There is no master *electronic* "individual" record where all events are neatly organized in one master file per alien. If that were the case, ERO would produce that data. Alien Files, or "A-Files," are the official *paper* file for all immigration and naturalization records created or consolidated since April 1, 1944 and are under the purview of U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services ("USCIS"), not ICE.
- 38. ICE takes careful note of this Court's Memorandum Decision and Order dated September 27, 2018 and will now address and resolve all specific questions that the Court had and will offer clarifications where a need for such was noted.

#### **REQUEST NO. 2017-ICFO-08061**

39. Plaintiffs' request #2017-ICFO-08061 requested 150 total data points related to ICE's use of I-247/I-247D detainers for "FY2015 through November 2016." Each data point NOT provided to Plaintiffs required research, required analysis, required calculations, and/or required the creation of new records, or simply did not exist in the database regarding detainers. Some of the data points previously provided are no longer produced since detainer forms were updated over time. If Plaintiffs started requesting only existing data (i.e., list of every detainer for March 2019), and did not insist on following individuals, ICE would have no trouble quickly providing requested data because those data already exist in the database, are readily available, and do not require extensive computer programming, analysis, research, creation of new records, or answering of questions. See Exhibit A.

#### Request Items Provided

- 40. Request items 1, 2, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7, 3.8, 3.8.1, 3.8.2, 3.8.3, 3.8.4, 3.9, 3.9.1, 3.9.2, 3.9.3, 3.9.4, 3.9.5, 3.9.6, 3.9.7, 3.9.8, 3.9.9, 3.9.10, 3.9.11, 4, 5, 6, 7, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 23, 43, 49, 66, 67, 68, 69, 69.2, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 95, 96, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 109, 110, and 112 were provided to Plaintiffs because they existed in the database in association with Detainers. See attached Exhibit B, Exhibit C, and Exhibit D.
- 41. In some instances, because of the detainer form change, the request did not apply to the I-247D form, but data were provided for the I-247 form. See attached Exhibit A.

# Request Items Which Would Require Analysis, Calculations, the Creation of a New Record

42. Request items 8, 9, 9.a, 9.b, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 50, 53, 54, 55, 55.1, 55.2, 55.3, 55.4, 55.5, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, and 76 were not provided because they would require analysis, calculations, and creation of a new record. They would require ERO analysts to create new data connections that did not exist previously. For example, request item 8 asks whether an arrest occurred after a detainer. When a detainer occurs, the information from the detainer form is recorded, along with a few other operational items (all provided to Plaintiffs). Those items go into the Detainer. That Detainer (entrée) exists unconnected from an Arrest event (entrée), so the only way ERO analysts could answer that question is to create a new temporary combination of data (new menu item/substitution) as described above, through creation of a new query, analysis and calculations. Therefore, it should not be considered subject to the FOIA.

- 43. Another example is request item 14 for "initial book-in date." There is not a connection in the ICE database between the Detainer events (entrée) and detention events (entrée). When a Detainer occurs, only the information on the Detainer form, along with a few other operational items--all provided to Plaintiffs--are recorded. These separate event "entrées" are not correlated in the system. To connect these events (entrées), analysts would have to write complex new computer programs (change the menu) to temporarily connect the events (combine the entrées into one), then deduplicate the resulting data because multiple individuals often appear multiple times for different events. After creating those temporary records, analysts would then have to validate and report the new data connections. This process constitutes the creation of new records, analysis, calculations, and research.
- 44. Another example, of concern to this Court, is request 22. There, Plaintiffs requested "earliest date reflecting presence in U.S." The Court stated, "Plaintiffs' request, however, is not limited to "entry date," but plainly seeks "data reflecting" an individual's presence in whatever form in which ICE maintains it. And Plaintiffs have adduced evidence showing that ICE has acknowledged the existence of substantively similar data fields in the context of other litigation. (Dkt. No. 25-1, ¶ 22(b). Here, ERO *did* provide "Entry Date" under item 20, "Date of Original Entry," in its productions to Plaintiff. This is the *only entry date* that is entered for the detainer event and is pulled out of the detainer file. Please note this is a self-reported date and there is no way to confirm accuracy. Similar data provided for different law enforcement actions cannot be provided for Detainers without analysis and creation of new records.
- 45. Yet another example is request item 25 for "U.S. citizen spouse (yes/no)." The Detainer form contains no information regarding spouses, and officers, at the time of a Detainer,

enter only the information needed for that Detainer. There is simply not a connection that exists in the ICE database between the detainers "entrée" Plaintiffs seek and all the data points (side dishes) and sub-datapoints (connected side dishes) the Plaintiffs seek. Unfortunately, the only way analysts could produce this data would be to create new, temporary data "entrées" as described above, a process which requires analysis, calculations, and the creation of new records and is not subject to the FOIA.

46. The remaining requests in this category carry the same response, respectively. even with most liberal assumptions about the data Plaintiffs were seeking. I wish to emphasize that Detainer data is entered into the database at the time of a particular Detainer. Nowhere on any of the various Detainer forms is there data which relates to other enforcement events such as future arrests, future removals, future detentions, etc.

Request Items Which Do Not Exist in the Database Related to Detainers

- 47. Request items 51, 52, 63, 64, 65, 69.1, 69.3, 69.4, 69.5, 69.6, 69.7, 78, 94, 97, 108, and 111 do not exist in the database related to the Detainers "entrée." Each of these items, even with the most liberal reading, do not exist in the ICE database related to the population requested. For example, item 52 asks for, "All information used in determining the LESC level for each person." This item does not exist on detainer form I-247 or I-247D, and also is not recorded operationally at the time a detainer is recorded. Request item 52 simply does not exist related to Detainers. Therefore, it cannot be produced. Even if the President of the United States asked for the same information, it could not be produced.
- 48. Each of the request items listed in Paragraph 37 carry the same explanation. Each of the items do not exist related to Detainers. They do not exist on detainer form I-247 or I-247D, or I-247N, and also are not recorded operationally at the time of a Detainer. Some of the

items may exist related to other events, i.e., Removal events or Arrest events, but those items, if they exist, do not relate to the Detainer population requested. Please see Exhibit A which lays out these data in tabular form, and specifically defines the response to each request.

#### **REQUEST NO. 2017-ICFO-08062**

- 49. Plaintiffs' request #2017-ICFO-08062 requested 131 total data points related to ICE's use of I-247N detainers for "November FY16." Each data point NOT provided to Plaintiffs asked a question, required research, required analysis, required calculations, and/or required the creation of new records, or simply did not exist in the database related to Detainers. Some of the data points that were provided in the past were discretionarily provided before the agency changed posture. If Plaintiffs started requesting only existing data (i.e., list of every detainer for March 2019), and did not insist on following individuals, ICE would have no trouble quickly providing requested data because those data already exist in the database, are readily available, and do not require extensive computer programming, analysis, calculations, research, the creation of new records, or the answering of questions.
- 50. In some instances, because of the detainer form change, the request did not apply to the I-247N form, but data was provided for the I-247 or I-247D form. See attached Exhibit A.

#### Request Items Provided

From Sequest items 2, 3, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 4, 5, 7, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 23, 43, 49, 66, 67, 68, 69, 77, 79, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 95, 96, 98, 99, 100, 101, 105, 109, 110 and 112 were provided to Plaintiffs because they existed in the database related to Detainers. In some instances, because of the detainer form changes, the request did not apply equally to the I-247N and the I-247/I-247D forms. See attached Exhibit A, Exhibit B, Exhibit C, and Exhibit D.

#### and the Creation of New Records

- 52. Request items 8, 9, 9.1, 9.2, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18, 21, 22. 24. 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 50, 53, 54, 55, 55.1, 55.2, 55.3, 55.4, 55.5, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, and 76 were not provided because they would require ERO analysts to create new data connections that did not exist previously. For example, request item 8 asks whether an arrest occurred after a detainer. When a detainer occurs, the items on the detainer form are recorded, along with some other operational items (all supplied to Plaintiffs). Detainers (entrées) and arrest events (entrées) exist separately in the database (menu) and are not connected in the ICE database. The only way ERO analysts could answer that question is to create new computer programming, and conduct research, analysis and calculations in order to create, analyze, and report on the results. Each of these requests would require the same steps. Although ERO provided these items discretionarily in the past for data connections did not exist in the database—such requests should not be considered subject to the FOIA because they request information which would require analysis, calculations, research and the creation of new records.
- 53. For example, request item 12 is for "Code of detention facility initially booked into," connected to a population of individuals for whom particular detainers were issued, connected to a particular time period. When a detainer occurs, the items on the detainer form are recorded, along with a few other operational items (all supplied to Plaintiffs). No other information is recorded for that detention event (entrée). There is not a connection in the ICE database between the detainer "entrées" and detention events "entrées." These events exist as separate menu items (entrees) and are not correlated in the database (menu). Initial book-in to

Filed 04/29/19

ICE custody (and the code for the detention facility initially booked into) is not correlated with the detainer in the database. In order to connect these events, analysts would have to write complex new computer programs to temporarily connect the data, (create a new menu item that combines two entrées), then deduplicate because multiple individuals often appear more than

once for each event—then validate the data and create a new report. This process includes the

creation of new records, the conducting of analysis, calculations, and research.

54. The remaining requests in this category carry the same response, respectively, even with liberal assumptions about the data Plaintiffs were seeking. There is simply not a connection that exists in the ICE database between detainers (entrées) and all the data points (side dishes) and sub-datapoints (other side dishes) that Plaintiffs seek. Unfortunately, the only way analysts could produce these data would be to write programs to create new, temporary data intersections (menu items combining all these ingredients). This would require the creation of new records, analysis, calculations, and research, and each item in this list should not be

Request Items Which Do Not Exist in The Database Related to Detainers

considered subject to the FOIA, nor should it be required to be served in the "FOIA Restaurant."

55. Request items 51, 52, 63, 64, 65, 69.1, 69.3, 69.4, 69.5, 69.6, 69.7, 78, 94, 97, 108, and 111 simply do not exist in the database (on the menu) related to detainers. When a detainer occurs (entrée), the information from the detainer form is recorded, along with a few other operationally needed items (all provided to Plaintiffs). ERO is simply unable to produce any responsive data because none exist in the database (on the menu). They do not exist on detainer form I-247N, and also are not recorded operationally at the time of a Detainer. Some of the items may exist related to other events, i.e., Removal events or Arrest events, but those items do not exist related to the population requested. This would be the equivalent of the "FOIA"

Restaurant" creating a new entrée made of a Removal entrée and Arrest entrée, newly combined with each other.

#### CONCLUSION

- 56. ERO personnel have, for years, performed far above the requirements of the FOIA to produce data for Plaintiffs. ERO is no longer able to provide discretionary data to Plaintiffs due to staffing limitations and the increasing complexity and number of FOIA requests. Plaintiffs' overuse of the FOIA and monopolization of ERO resources has hampered ERO LESAs ability to conduct its primary mission, and also to provide data to other FOIA requesters. ERO remains dedicated to providing the public, including Plaintiffs, with all documents required under the FOIA.
- 57. ERO has conducted a thorough and adequate search for records in this case and has provided all detainer datapoints in the Detainer "entrée." The items not provided are only those items which Plaintiffs mistakenly believed were available related to Detainers because they believed the database was person-centric, which it is not. Plaintiffs were provided the entire "entrée" of Detainer items from the "FOIA Restaurant."
- 58. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Signed this 29<sup>th</sup> day of April 2019.

Patricia J. de Castro, Ph.D.

Patricia J. de Castro, Ph.D.

# $\label{eq:comparative} \textbf{EXHIBIT A}$ COMPARATIVE REQUESTED-PROVIDED FOR BOTH REQUESTS

	T MARK	<b>867</b>		Reia tro	WGQ
1	Forth 1-247D was issued	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recurded at the time a Detainer event occurs.	ı	Date Form I-247N was issued	Yes. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.
3	Subject of 1-247D proviously transferred to addresses to controly and DRS intends to venture custody of the subject to complete processing.  DHS SUSPECTS THAT THE SUBJECT IS A REMOVABLE	Provided. This date point appears in the Detainers parties of the debetase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.  Preface to a request nothing is requested.		Subject of 1-247N previously transferred to addressed's custody and DRS intends to returne castrody of the subject to complete processing  DHS SUSPECTS THAT THE SUBJECT IS A REMOVABLE	Yes. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dalasties because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.  Preface to a request mothing is requested.
	ALIEN AND THAT THE SUBJECT IS AN IMMIGRATION ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY BECAUSE HE/SHE:			ALIEN AND THAT THE SUBJECT IS AN IMMIGRATION ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY BECAUSE HE/SHE:	to a
3.1	Has engaged in or is suspected of terrorism or capionage, or observedus pocces a diagram to assisted security.	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the debatters because it ignocorded at the time a Detainer result occurs.	3.1	Her conjuged in or its suspected of surrorines or explorage, or offereities poses a danger to material sourcity.	Yes. This data point appears in the Dosniers portion of the debatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.
3.2	Has been convicted of an offense of which an element was active participation in a criminal store gang as defined in 18 USC 521(a), or is at least 16 years old and intentionally participated in an organized criminal gang to further its illegal activities,	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.	3.2	Has been convicted of an offense of which an element was active participation in a criminal strong gang as defined in 18 USC 521(a), or is at least 16 years old and intentionally participated in an organized criminal gang to further its illegal activities.	Yes. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.
3.3	Has been convicted of an officer classified as a fising, orther than state or Signal officers for which an essential element was the alien's monignation status.  Has been convicted of an aggravated felow, as defined under 8	Provided. This data point appears in the Delainers perties of the debatase because it is recorded at the time a Delainer event occurs. Provided. This data point appears in the Delainers portion of the	3.3	Else bono convicted of an ciffuso classified as a bloay, orther than cigare to an offices for which are executed demonst was the alteri- comagnation status.  Has been convicted of an aggravated felony, as defined under 8.	Yes. This data point appears in the Delainers pertien of the dabatuse becomes it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.  Yes. This data point appears in the Detainers pertion of the
	USC 110(a)(43) at the time of conviction,	dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.	5.4	USC 110(a)(43) at the time of conviction.	dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.
3/5	Has been one visted of a 'significant mixtensager,' as defined under 1915 policy, andre	Presided. This data point appears in the Delainers portion of the dishuters because it is recorded at the lines a Detainer event occurs.	3.5	This has considered of a highlighten problems of as defined under DHS policy, and at	datases because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.
3.6	Has been convicted of 3 or more misdementors, not including minor traffic offenses and state or local offenses for which immigration; status was an essential element, provided the offenses arise out of 3 separate incidents.  Probablic cause coasts that the subject is a reasonable elies (yealso):	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.	3.6	Has been convicted of 3 or more misdemosaiors, not including minor traffic offenses and state or local offenses for which immigration status was an essential element, provided the offenses arise out of 3 separate incidents.	Yes. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.
3.8	Petermination that probable cause exists that the subject is a removable alien based on:  A final order of removal against the subject	Preface to a request: nothing is requested.  Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the	3.8	NO PARALLEL REQUEST NO PARALLEL REQUEST	
3.8.2	The pendency of ongoing removal proceedings against the subject	debates because it is recorded at the time a Datainer event occurs.  Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.	3.8.2	NO PARALLEL REQUEST NO PARALLEL REQUEST	
3.8.3	Honostici confination of the subject's identity and records elect- of Sederal databases this elfimenicity indicate, by themselves or in editions to other reliable information, that the subject other leads immigration status or notwithstanding such status is represented under U.S. immigration law, under	Provided. This date point appears in the Desainers portion of the deshibes because it is recorded at the time a Desainer count recurs. However, shipped this sizes appear on the 1-2470 form; it does not appear on the prior 1-247 Sons. Therefore, those date points associated with the 1-2470 forms are produced, but caused be provided from the prior 1-247 form.	3.6.3	NO PARALLEL REQUEST	
3.8.4	Statements made voluntarily by the subject to an immigration of an and/or other reliable evidence that a firmatively indicate the subject dithe lacks immigration status or notwithstanding such status is removable under U.S. immigration law	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the database because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs. However, although this item appears on the 1-247D form, it does not appear on the prior 1-247 form. Therefore, those data points associated with the 1-247D form are produced, but cannot be produced from the prior 1-247 form.	3.8.4	NO PARALLEL REQUEST	
3.9	Determined that there is reason to believe the individual is year custody is an alien subject to removal from the United States	Profince to a request, obackfoor profines. Nothing as requested.	3.9	NO PARALLEL REQUEST	
<u>i</u>	Has a prior felony conviction or has been charged with a felony offense, Has three or more prior mademonsor convictions,	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs. Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer went occurs.	3.9.1 3.9.2	NO PARALLEL REQUEST NO PARALLEL REQUEST	
	Has a prior misdemeanor conviction or has been charged with a misdemeaner for an offense libat involves violence, threats, or assaults, sexual about or exploitation, driving under the millinence of allochold or a controlled substance, unlawful flight from the scene of an accident, the unlawful presension or use of a firstant or other deadly weapon, the distribution or trafficking of a controlled ubbitance, or other significant threat to public safety.	Provided This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.	3.9.3	NO PARALLEL REQUEST	
3.9.4 3.9.5	Initiated removal proceedings and corred a Notice to Appear or other charging document. Screed a warrant of arrest for removal proceedings	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatuse because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs. Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the	3.9.5	NO PARALLEL REQUEST	
3.9.6	Obtained an order of deportation or removed from the United States	database because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs. However, although this item appears on the 1-247 form. it does not appear on the newer 1-247D form. Therefore, those data points associated with the 1-247 form are produced, but cannot be produced from the 1-247D form.		NO PARALLEL REQUEST	
3.9.7	by this person  Has been convicted of illegal entry pursuant to 8 USC 1325	database because it is recorded at the time a Detainer ownt occurs. However, although this time appears on the 1-247 form, it does not appear on the newer 1-247D form. Therefore, those data points associated with the 1-247 form are produced, but cannot be produced from the 1-247D form.	3.9.6 3.9.7	NO PARALLEL REQUEST  NO PARALLEL REQUEST	
39.8		distallant became it is recorded at the fathe a Delataner event occurs. However, although this item appears on the 1-24 fath, it does not appear on the never 1-247D form. Therefore, those date points associated with the 1-247 form are produced, but content be groduced from the 1-247D form.	308	NO PARALLEL REQUEST	
	o have knowingly committed immigration fraud.	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the database because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs. However, although this item appears on the 1-247 Term, it does not appear on the newer 1-2470 ferm. Therefore, those data points associated with the 1-247 ferm are produced, but cannot be produced from the (-2470 ferm.)	3.9.9	NO PARALLEL REQUEST	
	Therwise peace a significant risk to national county, bender accuraty, a public safety, nodels?  Other (specify)	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs. Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the	3.9.10 3.9.11	NO PARALLEL REQUEST NO PARALLEL REQUEST	
	hate Force 3-247/1-247D was canceled or Force 1-247/1-247D adicates that prior detailor request related to the subject should be integrated (where applicable)	dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs. Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.	•	Date Forms 1-347N was concelled or Forms 1-247 indicates that prior	Yes. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.
6	Reson for cancelation or withdrawal of request  Whether Form 1-247/6-247D request indicated it was represented by upon the subject's conviction.	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs. Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers parties of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer ovest occurs.	5	Reason for cancelation or withdrawal of request	Yes. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs. Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers person of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.
7	ICE area of responsibility (AOR) issuing the Form I-247/1-247D	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.	7	ICE area of responsibility (AOR) issuing the Form 1-247N	Yes. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.

Following the preparation of the Form F247/1-247D , K/S	Not provided. At the time a Detainer event occurs, officers record.		Following the properation of the Ferm 1-247N, ICE administratives	Not provided. At the time a Detainer event occurs, officers record
Ambitationly reputs inhibital (patho), if ye, dan of ICS and	the information from the Desireor form, plus is a few other data clements, incire Probationer's point on the delabates. Database requesting is introduced to report her understand to the delabates administration of the delabates and the second to the control of the delabates and the second to the provided because EBC analysis sensitification to create a complex (hopeway) were compared early regions that would make a connection between a Denising occurs and a subsequent error, matched to the sense individual. This is further complicated by the fact that as individual places in the control of the contr		emetal judicidus (value); IJ ye, ake of ICE error.	the information from the Designer form, place a live other data demonsts, into the Phenimer's portion of the database. Exhibition of the database. Exhibition of the database. Exhibition of the Phenimer's portion of the database. Exhibition of the Phenimer's portion of the database individuals. Therefore, the data constain requested common be provided because IRO analysis would have to crame a complex interpretary jowns compared carry program that would make a commencion between a Detamer overall and a subsequent error, matched to the same individual. This is further completed by the fact that an individual night have summores because the complete carry the provided of the provided and a subsequent error, matched the the same individual. This is further completed by the fact that an individual night have summores needed of the contract
9 Following the proparation of the Form 1-2476-247D, individual was booked into ICE Custody (yes/no); and if yes:	Not provided. At the lines a Detainer event occurs officers record the information from the Denine form, place a few when data elements, into the Therine form, place a few when data elements, into the Theriner's portion of the database. Database reporting is structured to record this way, to alsw enforcement actions wersus individuals. Therefore, the data connection requested cannot be provided because ERO analysts would have to create a complex (temporary) new computer query program that would make a connection between a Detainer event and a subsequent Detention event, matched to the same individual. This is further complicated by the fact that an individual might have unumerous Detainer events and numerous Detainer events and numerous Detainer events and numerous Detainer events and numerous Detainer events and Detainer events. In utilization of the event of the control of the property of the details of the property has to be temporary because the database cannot accommendate complex new queries without often "crashing."	9	Following the proporation of the Form 3-247X; individual was booked into ICE Custody (yes/no); and if yes:	Not provided. At the time is Detained event occurs, officers record the information from the Detaine from, plus a few other data dements, into the "Detainers" portion of the database. Detabase reporting is structured to report this way to a law afforcement actions versus individuals. Therefore, the data connection requested cannot be provided because ERG analysts would have to create a complex (temporary) new computer query program that would make a connection between a Detainer event and a subsequent Detention event, matched to the same individual. This is further complexed by the fact that an individual night have numerous Detainer events and programs that the property of the program of th
	Not previous. As he face a Datainer own cours, officers record to purpose, and the purpose of the course of the co			Not provided. As the line is Delahor oven locates, efficient second the afformation from the Denisor form, plans sign evident date elements, leto the 'Delahor's portion of the databases. Delahor date elements, leto the 'Delahor's portion of the database. Delahor date and Distantion delate we in different portions of the database, and exist associated to made other. The database is structured his way so operational reportings can be performed related by particular law enforcement events. Therefore, the data bases is structured his way so operational reportings can be primarile related to particular laws and recommend events. The hordron, the data bases of the control of the particular laws of the provided because ERG undeplete would have be constant complete (tamporary) new computer query program that would nearly the complete the provided because ERG undeplete would be for each to complete (tamporary) new computer query program that would nearly the complete the provided in a particular manifester of the complete of the provided in algebrates may manage of the provided provided the provided completes and distinguished the provided provided provided the provided provided the provided provided the provided provided the provided provided provided the provided provided provided the provided p
9.b If yes, recorded approhension method	Not provided. All the time a Deltains event occurs, officers record the information from the Deltains from, plus a few other data elements, into the "Deltains" pointers of the database. Greater data are in different portions of the database. Deltains date in different portions of the database, and cost unconnected to and other. The database is structured this way to operational reporting can be performed related to particular law inforcement events. Therefore, the data councetion requested cannot be provided because ERO analysis would have to craste complex (temporary) new compate query program that would make a connection between a Deltainer event and a subsequent arrest, matched to the same individual. This is further complicated by the fact that are individual might have numerous Detainer events and numerous arrest events which would all need to be "matched up" via analysis, and utimately a new record would be created which little the new "matching" data between Detainer events and Arrest events. Further, this newly created query has to be temporary because the database cannot accommodate complex, new queries without often "creating."	9.b	If yes, recorded approhension method.	Not provided. All the time a Detainer event occurs, officers record the information from the Detainer form, plus a few other date dements into the Techniers' portion of the database. Detainer data and Arrest data are in different portions of the database, and exist unconnected to each other. The database is instructured this way so operational reporting can be performed related to particular law enforcement events. Therefore, the data connection requested cannot be provided because ERO analysts would have to create a complex (remporary) new computer quary program that would make a connection between a Detainer event and a sub-sequent arrest, matched to the same individual. This is further complicated by the fact that an individual might have numerous Detainer events and numerous arrest events which would all need to be "matched up" via analysis, and ultimately a new record would be created which lists the next events which used the least between Detainer events and Arrest events. Further, this newly created query has to be temporary because the database cannot accommodate complex new queries without other "creshing."
10 Professing the preparations of the Perry 1-2477/-2479. (OC - see	Not provided, However, SRO provided #13.2, 3.9.4 and #11. CRC Served You'be, which were a marker devolved found in the Delization forms and was provided in the assessment and CRO provided the large provided and the second of the control of the delization of the second of the second of the control of the large provided and the second of the control of the large provided and the second of the large provided and the devolved on the desirate from in rethrest upon the officer filling call the information. Officers are not required to record "Specific durings to support report for records" and are not marked on the detailer from.		Coloming the properation of the Even 1,3473/000 was their among rather over (yearboy) if you, date OOC filled and specific dataged to proper request for commit stated.	for provided. However, ERO provided 613.2. 2.9.4, and 48). ORC Shrowly Yaulivo, which was a similar disordbook found on the notation for the state of the provided state of the provided state of the provided state of the provided by officery on largery 1-297 forms. Please make that does not state in the chambaou as written file 1-247D Form.  The checkbook on the dominate form is register upon the officer filling out the information. Officers are not required to record "Specific Adapted to support request for removes," and are not bracked on the designer from the contraction of
Following the preparation of the Form 1-247/i-247D, and/vidual was deprort (yes no), if yes, date of deportation, latest processing disposition code, program code and case category at time of departure	Not provided. At the time a Detainer occurs, officers record the information from the Detainer form, plus a few other data dements, into the Totaleans' portion of the database. The database is structured to report particular law enforcement actions versus a distribution. Therefore, the data connection respected cannot be provided because EXO analysis would have to create a complex demonstrate of the connection between a Detainer oversit and a subsequent removal, matched to the same individual. This is further complicated by the fact that an individual might have numerous Detainer oversit an individual might have numerous Detainer oversit and unknown sor moved viewns with oversity and unknown to the control of the data that the control of the data that the new handling data the viewn Detainer oversit and Removal oversits. Further, this newly created query has to be temporary because the database coannot accommodate complex new queries without often "crashing."	11	Following the preparation of the Form 1-247N, individual was deported (yes-mo). If yes, das of deportation, latest processing disposition code, program code and case category at time of departure	Not provided. At the time a Detainer occurs, officers record the information from the Detainer form, plus a few other data elements, into the "Detainers" portion of the database. The database is structured to report particular law enforcement actions versus individuals. Therefore, the data connection requested cannot be provided because ERO analysis would have to create a complication temporary in excompater query program that would make a connection between a Detainer event and a subsequent removal, maniford to the same individual. This further complicated by the fact that an individual might have numerous Detainer events and numerous removal events which would all most to be 'matched up' via analysis, and ultimately a new record would be created which lists the new 'matching' data between Detainer events and Removal events. Further, this newly created query has to be temporary because the database cannot accommodate complex new queries without often "crashing."
12 Code of detantion facility initially booked ass	Not provided. At the time a Detainer event course, officers retard the influencies from the Detainer from, plus is two other date elements, into the Detainers by one of the detainers between the Detainers by one of the detainers, Detainers by the Detainers by the date, cancerding in attackment to report this way so less californment extens were individuals. Therefore, the date cancerding requires the extension accurate the provided because EEO analysis would have to create a cample (Dempore) new conquires event yet program that would make a content fine between a Detainer event and a sub-negated butter in extension between a Detainer event and a sub-negated Detainer event and a sub-negated Detainer event as maked to be the extended of the sub-sub-sub-detail analyst have removed and to be maked of the sub-sub-sub-sub-date events which would all send to be the time of the sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-	12	Code of deteation ficility in it july bucked into	Not provided. At the time a Detainer event occurs, officers record the information from the Detainer form, jobs a few other data deponent, into the "Detainers" point on the database reporting is structured to report this way so have microscent science wares included an expert this way so have microscent science wares included an expert this way so have microscent amount to provided because ERO enalysts would have in create a consider, (supporters) price or compare query propages that small mide a contaction between a Detainer owned and subsequent Determine owner, matched to the same individual. This is further complianted by the fact that are individual right have interments Determine owner and anticerus Determine owner which model all models of the control of

13	Name of detention facility initially booked into	Not provided. At the time a Detainer event occurs, officers record the information from the Detainer form, plass a few other data elements, not the 'Detainer's persion of the database. Detabase reporting is structured to report this way to a lew enforcement actions versus individuals. Therefore, the data connection requested cannot be provided because ERO analysts would have to create a complex. (temporary) new computer query program that would make a connection between a Detainer event and a subsequent Detection event, matched to the same individual. This is further complexed by the first than an individual might have more complexed by the first than an individual might have more complexed by the first than an individual might have more constitution of the complexed by the first than an individual might have more constitution of the first than an individual might have more constitution of the first than an individual might have more constitution of the constitution of the first than a place of the first than a place of the constitution of the consti	1	Name of detention facility initially booked into	Not provided. At the time a Detainer ownt occurs, officers record the information from the Detainer form, plus a few other data elements, airo the "Detainers" portion of the database. Database reporting is structured to respon this way to law enforcement actions versus individuals. Therefore, the data connection requested competed to support the way to law enforcement actions versus individuals. Therefore, the data connection requested cannot be provided because IRCA analysis would have to create a complex (empressy) new computer query program that would and act a connection networns a Detainer event and a subsequent Deteation owns, machine to the same individual. This is further Detainer owns that the same individual. This is further than the same individual that is further than the same individual. This is further Detainer owns and manners to Detainer owns that show would all need to be "matched up" via analysis, and ultimately a new record would be created which his this then with natively of a threat own of the provided which has the new "matching" data all between Detainer ownst and Detainer ownst and Extension of the database cannot grow the provided. At the time a Detainer form, plus a few other data menons, in only "Detainers point of the adabase, amount of the database cannot detain the action of the database cannot detain the action of the database cannot be actived to report this way so have subsceneral actions was no individuals. These first form plus particular that is competition between the Detainer owns individuals. The life of the provided because the provided because IRCA majors would have to construct a competition of the provided because IRCA majors would have to construct a competition of the provided because IRCA majors would have to construct and the provided because IRCA majors would have the construct and the provided because IRCA majors would have the construction of the provided because IRCA majors would have the construction of the provided because IRCA majors would have the construc
15	gender	Description of the manifest Delenian relation that we want of the manifest purished the considerability for supplies, and delininarily a new record variable for created which has the row "matching" data between Delenian coversity and Delenian coversity. Further, this workly created query has to be impossingly secured the delenian country and the complete complete the deleniant of the delenian country and the deleniant country and	15	gender	presents when two plantations Demand receives when voque of most to be invasted by the smallysis, and ultimately a new record water to be invasted by the small which the new tracking data between Delates event and Delates on the state of the small plantation event in the first the state of small plantation of the state of the st
16 17	country of birth	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatters because it is recorded at the time a Detainer ownst occurs.  Provided. This data point appears in the Detainer sportion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.	17	country of citizanship	Provided. This data yourt appears in the Detainers portion of the debatuse because it is recorded at the time a Detainer went occurs.  Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.
		Not provided. At the time a Detainer ownst occurs, officers record the inflammation from the Detainer form, place a few other data elements, the two Technicars' periods of the database. At the time of a Detainer worst, the time of a Detainer ownst, the time of a Detainer ownst, the Third Section of Beth is recorded, but not the subjects upon Interface, the data requested amount be provided because EEO analysis would have to create a, (impressey) new comparing even program that would equilibrate the entire the provided because EEO analysis would have to create a, (impressey) new the Detain of Eight. This consistency equation of new records and it also not mighter to POLA. EEO deep growth of 19 Beth. Dut in New of Beth Year as its interinsients. This allows Paintiffle to apply addrasters criteria nearmenty to admissible low they want to define age. Birth dates are sublesported.			Not provided. At the time a Dritsiner ownt occurs, officers record the information Byon the Datainer form, plus a few other data-clements, into the Theistener's periods of the database, at the time of a Detainer ownt, the time of a Detainer ownt, the time of a Detainer ownt, the "Data of Birth" is recorded, but not the subject age. Therefore, the data recognised common by provided because BKO analysis would have to create a timejercary) new compasine query organs that would adulted the subject age affects the Data of Birth. This constitutes or creates of new records and it at the new time of Birth. This constitutes or creates of new records and it after not subject to FOLA. EXPO does provide 197 Burth. Plus in: See of Birth Yeer in the relumination. This allower Heinstiffs to apply whethere actions necessary to calculate how they want to define age. Birth datas are nelf-reported.
19	year of birth	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.	19	year of birth	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.
20	date of original entry date of last entry	Provided: This den point appears in the Dotainers person of the challenges because it is growed at the time in Dotainer event occurs. Not provided. However, ERO did provide Entry Date under #20 Date of Original Entry in its submissions. This is the ontry date that is entered by the officer for the detauner.	20 21	date of last entry	Provided. This data guisat appears in the Detainers portion of the database because it is recorded at the time or Detainer proof coders.  Not provided. However, ERG did provide Eatry Date under 220.  "Date of Original Entry" in its submissions. This is the entry date that is entered by the effice for the declarine.
22	surflost data red determine in U.S.  original entry status	Not provided. However, ERO did provide Eatry Date under #20 'Date of Original Eatry' in its submissions. This is the eatry date that is entered by the officer for the detainer. Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the	22	perhapt date reflecting processes in U.S.	Not provided. However, ERO did provide finity Date under #20 Thete of Original Entry in its submissions. This is the entry date that is entered by the officer for the detainer. Provided This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the
24	last entry status	dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.	24	last onery status	dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.
25	U.S. citizen spouse (yes/no)	Not provided. ERO did provide Entry Status under \$23 "original entry status" in its solonissient. This is the entry status that is entroid by the officer for the defaults. Not provided. At the time a Detainer event occurs, officers record the information from the Detainer form, plus a few other data	25	U.S. citizen spouse (yesho)	Not provided 1280 did provide Entry Shaus under #23 "original entry status" in its solimissions. This is the entry status that is entstead by the officer for the defaulte. Not provided. At the time is Datainer event occurs, officers record the information from the Detaster form, plus a few other data
	·	elements, into the "Detainers" portion of the database. The database is structured to propri particular law enforcement ovents individuals. Therefore, the data connection requested cannot be provided because ERO analysts would have to create a complex (temporary) new computer query program that would make a connection between a Detainer event and other enforcement data related to an individual might have numerous Detainer events and mumerous other enforcement events which would all need to be "matched up" was analysis, and withinstedy a new record would be contact which lists the new "matching" data between Detainer events and other officerement events. Further, this newly created query has to be temporary because the database cannot accommodate complex new queries without other "crashing."			elements, who the "Detainers" portion of the database. The database is structured to report particular law enforcement count, versus undividuals. Therefore, the data connection requested cannot be provided hocases EKO analysts would have too crate a complex (temporary) new computer query program that would make a connection heteware EKO analysts would have too crate as complex (temporary) new computer query program that would make a connection between a Detainer cervat and muterous other enforcement events which would all need to be "matched up" via analysis, and ultimately a new record would be extend which lists the new "matching" data between Detainer events and other enforcement events. Further, this nectod would be careated which lists the new "matching" data between Detainer events and other enforcement events. Further, this newly created query has to be temporary because the database cannot accommodate complex new queries without other "crashing".
26		Not provided, At the time is Debulor event course, officer record for information from the Distinct from, plus a five other data demonstration to the "Debulors," pursue of the debulors. The database is provided on the debulors. The database is provided to the provided of the provided o			Not provided. At the time a Debater event occurs, officers record the information from the Debater form, plus a fore other-late administration of the distalant. The distalant is determined to report particular law enforcement event, square and exception. The moreover, the other commonless registrate cleants to provided foreigned 2200 selectors with laws to create a complex execution of the common a complex execution of the common and the complex execution of the common and a Debater event and other subcreaming that a complex execution of the common and a Debater event and other subcreaming the and the debate in gift have received and other subcreaming the and the debate in gift have received and a seed to be introded up for any other than the common and the com

27	U.S. cirizen child (yes/no)  charged with a crime (yes/no) lawy charge, not restricted to conviction;	Not provided. At the tene a Detainer event occurs, officers record the information from the Detainer form, plus a few other data elements, into the 'Detainers' perties of the database. The database is structured to report particular law onforcement own, versus individuals. Therefore, the data connection requested cannot be provided because EKO analysis would have to create a complex (temporary) new computer query program that would make a connection between a Detainer event and other enforcement data related to an individual This is further completed by the fact that individual might have numerous Detainer events and numerous other onforcement events which would all need to be 'matched up's analysis, and ultimately a new roard would be created which lists the new 'matching' data between Detainer events and other enforcement events. Further, the nexty created query has to be temporary because the database cannot accommodate complex new queries without often 'crashing.'  Not provided, 'Underlying crimien' information that is recorded on detaining flams (where evailable dependent upon the form year, long Yea No. 'Turn Falony Yea (No. 'Aggrested 15-89) 'Criminal Street (lang Yea No. 'Turn Falony Yea (No. 'Aggrested Tellony Yea. No. 'Turn Falony Yea (No. 'Aggrested Tellony Yea. No. 'Yea and 'Malipipa Price Nista' Yea. 'No. 'Yealont Mindencoment 'Yea Not' and 'Malipipa Price Nista' Yea. 'No. 'Yealont Mindencoment 'Yea Not' and 'Malipipa Price Nista' Yea. 'No. 'Yealont Mindencoment 'Yea Not' and 'Malipipa Price Nista' Yea. 'No. 'Yealont Mindencoment 'Yea Not' and 'Malipipa Price Nista' Yea.		U.S. citizen child (yes/no)  durged with a crime (yes/no) [any change, not restricted to convictions].	Not provided. At the time a Detainer ownt occurs, officers record the information from the Detainer form, plus a few other data elements, into the 'Detainers' portion of the database. The database is structured to report particular live melorecement event was structured to report particular live melorecement event, versus individuals. Therefore, the data connection requested cannot be provided because ERO analysts would have the create a complex (temporary) new computer query program that would make a connection Detween a Detainer event and other enforcement data related to an individual. This is further complicated by the fact that individual original than the individual of the state of the fact that individual original than the composition of the analysis, and dumerous other inforcement events which would all need to be 'matched up' as analysis, and thinknelly a new record would be considered which justs the new 'matching' data between Detainer events and other inforcement events. Further, this next year and query has to be temporary because the database cannot accommodate complex, new queries without often "crashing." Can of the detainer, farrise relates yet a long of "23 36, 95 ("Criminal Stoce" Gang Yet No.", "Trivilent Mindenments Yes Not and "Multiple Price Misle Yes No.", "Vicient Mindenments Yes Not and "Multiple Price Misle Yes No." in the submissions. Additional original information for the
29	most serious criminal charge [any charge, not restricted to convictions]	debien's in provided in 149 "dention tiesus food" and 143 "aggravated felon type."  Not provided. Underlying criminal information that is recorded on the detainer forms (where available dependent upon the form version) is provided by ERO under 13, 23, 6, 19, 9 ("Criminal Breat Gang Yes No." "Fiber Federy Yes No.", "Solent Misdomenon Yes No" and "Multiple Prov Misd Yes No", "Violent Misdomenon Yes No" and "Multiple Prov Misd Yes No" in its submissions. Additional criminal information for the detainer is provided in 149 "detainer threat level" and 143 "aggravated felon type."		most serious criminal charge [any charge, not restricted to convictions]	detains is provided in 149° detainer threat lone" and 143° **aggressed folion, type:  Not provided. Underlying criminal information that is recorded on the detainer forms (where available dependent upon the form varion) is provided by ERO under '83, 23, 6, 193 ("Cirninal Street Gang Yes No", "First Federly Yes No", "Aggravated Feony Yes No", "Visient Mindemanner Yes No" and "Multiple Pirer Mist Yes No" in its submissions. Additional criminal information for the detainer is provided in 149° "detainer throat level" and 143° "aggravated felon type."
30 19 X 19 X	date of most serious criminal charge (any charge, not restricted to convictions)  Haltus of most serious criminal charge (any charge, not restricted to convictions)	Not provided. Underlying oriminal information that is morehold in the faith in Family of the work of the continue of the continue of the provident upon the faith morehold by ERO under #3,25,679.5 (*Criminal Street, Grang year No.", "The provident Year No.", "Againsted Februy Year No.", "Visited Mindenousses Year No." and "Adultyled Prizer Mind Year No." in the submissions. Year Seed and "Adultyled Prizer Mind Year No." in the submissions. Year Seed Theorem is provided in #69 "Scholers throat level" and #43 "Pagravated Briton type."  Not provided. Most Serious Criminal Charge (MSCC) and its supporting details at the time of the Denaire is not recorded on the detainer form.	25,45,650	date of most serious estimated charge (any charge, not restricted to consisting)  status of most serious estimated charge (any charge, not restricted to controctions)	the defining fromts (object socialists dependent upon the firm persons) is provided by ERO under \$325.6.83 (2 ferminal Sheet Gang Yes Nor, "Prior Felony Yes Nor," Aggressed Selteny Yes No," Wislest Mademanney Yes Nor," Aggressed Selteny Yes Nor) is submissions. Additional crustoms information for the desines in provided in 40° Seltsines invest level; and #43 Taggressed folion type."
32	NCIC code for most strices criminal charge (any charge, not restricted to convictions)	Not provided. Most Serious Criminal Charge (MSCC) and its supporting details at the time of the Detainer is not recorded on the	32	NCIC code for most serious criminal charge (any charge, not restricted to convictions)	Not provided. Most Serious Criminal Charge (MSCC) and its supporting details at the time of the Desimor is not recorded on the detainer farm.
33	convicted of a crime (yes/no)  convicted of a crime (yes/no)	delainer fam.  Not provided. At the time a Detainer event occurs, officers record the information from the Detainer form, plus a few other data elements, into the "Detainers" portion of the database. Detainer data elements, into the "Detainers" portion of the database. Detainer data and other criminal record data ner in different protons of the database, and exist unconnected to each other. The database is structured this way so operational reporting can be performed related to particular law enforcement events. Therefore, the data connection requested cannot be provided because ERs analysts would have to create a complex (temporary) new computer query program that would make a connection between Detainer events and other criminal record data related to an individual. This is further complicated by the fact that an individual wight have numerous Detainer events and numerous other criminal records which would fall need the "matching" data head to be "matched up" via analysis, and ultimately a new record would be created which lists the new thinking with between Detainer events and other criminal records. Further, this newly created query has to be temporary because the database cannot accommedate complex new queries without offen "crashing."	33	convicted of a crime (yes no)	destance fame.  Not provided. At the time a Deltainer event occurs, officers record the information from the Deltainer form, plus a few other data elements, into the "Deltainers' portion of the database. Defainer data and other oriminal record data rest in different protions at the database, and exist unconnected to each other. The database is structured this way so operational proprinting can be performed related to particular law enforcement events. Therefore, the data connection requested cannot be provided because ESO analysts would have to or caste a complex (temporary) new computer query program that would make a connection between a Deltainer event and other criminal record data related to an individual. This is further complicated by the first that is individual might have numerous Deltainer events and numerous other criminal records and uniterately a new record would be created which lists the new "matching" data between Deltainer events and other criminal records. Further, this newly created query has to be temporary because the database cannot accommodate complex new queries without offun "crashing."
35	most serious criminal conviction offense	the detained forms (where evaluable dependent upon the fram version) in premised by ISCO under IZ-3.6. Et 30 ("Chinaila Blocal Chang Yes No." Third Fellow Yes No." Angaywarded Felany Yes No." "Visional Blocal Chang Yes No." Third Fellow Yes No." Angaywarded Felany Yes No." Yes No. "Third Fellow Yes No." In its submissions. Adulting criminal information for the decision is provided in 1609 "detained interestions for the decision is provided in 1609 "detained interestions for the decision is provided in 1609 "detained interestions for the decision in 1600 and 1600 a		citation, duc)  most serious criminal conviction offense	the detainer farms (where evaluable dependant upon the form version) is provided by ERD under \$3.2.4,6.9.9 ("Crimial Street Cang Yes No." Prior Fidury Yes No." Aggressively Februy Yes No." Prior Fidury Yes No." Aggressively Februy Yes No." Trior Fidury Yes No." Aggressively Februy Yes No." Trior Fidury Yes No." Aggressively Februy Yes No." In submissions. Additional orisinal infrancisor for the detainer in grooded. It #69 "detainer threats loved" and #63 "feggression fiftee type."  Not provided. At the time a Detainer covent occurs, officers record the information from the Detainer form, plus a few other data elements, into the "Detainers" protion of the database. Detainer data on other criminal record data see in edifferent persons of the database, and exist unconnected to each other. The database is structured this way so operational reporting can be performed related to particular law enforcement events. Therefore, the data connection requested cannot be privated because ERO analysts would have to create a complex (temporary) new computer query program that would make a connection between a Delatiner event and other criminal record and the provided because ERO analysts which would fill all event has an anametrous other criminal record awards which would fill all event has an anametrous other criminal records which would fill all event has a formation of the provided prival analysis, and ultimately a new record would be created which lists the new translating "data between Detainer events and other criminal records which would fill the control to the "marked prival analysis", and ultimately a new record would be created which lists the new translating "data between Detainer events and other criminal records which would fill the control to the "marked prival analysis", and ultimately a new record would be created upry his to be temporary because the database cannot accommodate complex new queries without other "created upry his to be temporary with the provided temporary to the temporary temporary to the tempo
36	date of acont carbons criminal conviction:	Not provided. More Serious Convection (MSC) and its supporting, details at the time of the Demainer is not recorded on the detailer; flam.  Not provided. Most Serious Convection (MSC) and its supporting details at the time of the Detailer is not recorded on the detailer form.	36 37	date of most serious external conviction  sontence for most serious criminal conviction	Not provided. Most Serious Conviction (MSC) and its inspiroring details at the time of the Delainor is not recorded as the distance form.  Not provided. Most Serious Conviction (MSC) and its supporting details at the time of the Delainor is not recorded on the detainor form.
38	NCIC code for most serious criminal convenient offense	Not provided. Most Serious Conviction (MSC) and its supporting details at the time of the Detainer is not recorded on the detainer form.	38	NCIC code for most serious criminal conviction offense	Not provided. Most Serious Conviction (MSC) and its supporting details at the time of the Detainer is not recorded on the detainer form.
	information on every conviction not just the most scrious (date of the charge, date of the conviction, NCIC code for charge, level of offense (felony, misdemeasor, clistion, etc.), senionce received)	Not provided. Underlying criminal information that is recorded on the detainer forms (where available dependent upon the form version) is provided by ERO under #3 2-3 6, #3 9 ("Criminal Street Gang Yes No." Prov Felony Yes No." Aggaranted Felony Yes No." Visited Misdemouncy Yes No" and "Multiple Prior Misd Yes No", Tibed Misdemouncy Yes No" and "Multiple Prior Misd Yes No", Tibed Misdemouncy Yes No" and "Multiple Prior Misd Yes No", Tibed Misdemouncy Yes No" and "Auditable Prior Misd Yes No", Tibed Misdemounce of the Province of the Pro		information on every conviction not just the most serious (date of the charge, date of the conviction, NCIC code for charge, level of offense (Iclony, misdem-annor, citation, ctc.), sentence received)	Not provided. Underlying criminal information that is recorded on the detainer forms (where available dependent upon the form version) is provided by ERO under #3.2-3.6; #3.9 ("Criminal Street Gang Yes No." Prior Fellowy Yes No.", "Agarwated Fellowy Yes No.", "Violent Misudemeanor Yes No" and "Multiple Prior Misid Yes No" in its unbiassens. Additional criminal information for the detainer is provided in #49 "detainer threat level" and #43 "aggravated felon type.

40	information on every charge not just the most serious for which a	Not provided. Underlying criminal information that is recorded on	40	information on every charge not just the most serious for which a	Not provided. Underlying criminal information that is recorded on
	contribute has not construct (this of things, surport return, NAC to the fire charge, best of nillossy (Risny, minhamatine, charles, etc.)	the desiring frams (where twelships dependent upon the firm version) is provided by ERO under 23-36, ft. 30 °C/mined Shreet Cang Yee Not. "Prior Finlary Yes Not." Aggressed Feltury Yes Not." "Vision Mindennessor Yes Not." and "Mahighe First Mind Yes Not." Sheet Mindennessor Yes Not. and "Mahighe First Mind Yes Not." is in submittain. Additional critical information for the despise is provided in 140° Schainer futural level." and 343. "aggreented falses type."	4	consides his no country (she of charge, current shins, NCC, code for charge, level of offenso (filesy, midemoneror, clinice, etc.)).	the detainer farms (where residuhe dependant upon the form version) is provided by ERO under 3-2.0.d. of 19 ("Frimmel Stone). Gang Yu No". "Prior Felony Yen No". "Augrowted Felony Yes No". "Makest Politomenous Yes No" and "Maltiple Tree Mind Yes No") is it subministers. Additional varients information for the detainer is provided in 449" detainer threat level and 643. "aggravated fairn type."
41	information on the charge for the specific arrest that gave rise to th 1-2471-247D being placed (did actual charge result (yeu/no), date of charge, current status, date of conviction if applicable, NCIC code for charge, level of offonse (felony, misdemeanor, citation, etc.), sentence received if applicable)	Not provided: ERO does not track the outcome for "charge for the apocific arrest that gave ruse to the I-247 I-247D-I-247N being placed" and its accompanying charge details.	41	information on the charge for the specific arrest that gave rise to the FORM 1-247N being placed (did actual charge result (yes/no), date of charge, current status, date of conviction if applicable, NCIC code for charge, level of offonse (felony, misdemeanor, citation, etc.), sentence received if applicable)	Not provided. ERO does not track the outcome for "charge for the specific arrest that gave rise to the 1-247/1-247D/1-247N being placed" and its accompanying charge details.
42	aggravatif files (paylos)	Not provided. For the detainer forms where the Aggressited Felon You'No deschines caints on the form, that data is provided by ERO as part of 18° aggression follow, yealor's its administrate. Additionally, ERO does provide 845 "aggression felon type" which is catered by the officer for the detainer event located in the detainer file.	42		Not provided. For the definition forms where the Aggresshot Fishers You'les checkbox exists on the forms, that data is provided by EEG as part of 187 "aggression fishers, worker in its advancement. Additionally. EEG does provide 443" aggression faster type" which is extreed by this officer for the detainer event located in the defining file.
43	aggravated felon type	However, a universal Aggravated Folce Yes/No does not exist.  Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the debatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer count occurs.	43	aggravated ficken type	Hinneyer, a universal Aggressized Felon Yeal/No does not exist.  Aggressized Felon Type
44	Any and all information steed in determining whether the messes in	Not provided. At the time a Detrimer event occurs, officers record.	44	Any and all information used in determining whether the person is	Diet provided. At the time a Dubnium metal occurs reflicers record.
	or inches agreement fairs and perfective type	the information from the Distinction from place a flow of the distinction of the distinct		or fart as aggressed father and particular types	the information from the Debierr form, phis is few other dat- chements, to the Pathierry point on the detables. Debairing data and other criminal record data are in different portions of the debabases, and expert recommended in each other. The debabase is structured this very no operational proving one to performed related to particular law conferences oversit. Therefore, the data connection reasonated classics be moving the debaute Sept. See archive
		recal black to create a complex (temporary) new computer query program that would insize a connection between a Dehlam event and other criminal record data related to an individual. This is further complexed by the fact that an individual angle have immensed Dehlam events and manness other criminal records which would all mod in be "matched up" via makyint, and infinitely a new room owned for criteral which list the new			would have to treate a complex (httm;coury) now computer quoy- program that would make a connection between a Desinite event and other cramal record date related in an individual. This is further completed by the first that an individual might have maneyees Desinite events and amounts other cramals excerts which would all mend to be "matched up" was marjoris, and callingstey a new record would be created which list the new
45	meets requirements if held for mandatory detention (yes to)	"austrâting" data between Detensor ovends med other cranmal records. Purches, this mostly created query has to be transporary because the stablease cannot accommodate complex new queries without often "creating."	45	moets requirements if held for mandatory detention (yes to)	"Basteling" data between Detainer events and other criminal records. Further, this newly created quiry has to be temporary because the database cannot accommodate compiler, new queries without often "creating."  Not provided. ERO provides #43 "aggravated felon type" which is
	most requirement in the sol manufact y deserted type not	entered by the officer manually.  Other aggravated felon information is provided, where applicable, in the detainer checkboxes under #3.			entered by the officer manually.  Other aggravated felon information is provided, where applicable, in the detainer checkboxes under #3.
46	Any and all inferences would be required if held	Not provided. Officers do not mark: mandatory detention states not if requirements are "not" on the detains ferm for a potential future ICE control, As stated in 19 and 412-14, officers do not not not setual ICE control, As stated in 19 and 412-14, officers do not not not setual ICE controls in stated in 19 and 19 a	46	Any and all information used in determining whether or not mandatory determine similar be required if hold	Not provided. Officers do not mark, mendatory deterrition status nor if sequirationals are "men" on the detainer form for a potential future LEC causing. As stated in 199 and 42-24, officers do not not not actual ICE causingly, stated in 199 and 42-24, officers do not not provide the checkbox that tracks if DHB "intunde" resume causingly under \$2 Remon Causingly and that is provided.
		Furthermore, Mandatory Detention is a very apositic point in time 'status' measurement that is calculated only at the time the malvideal is correctly held in ICE custody.	<b>第</b> 李章		Parthermore, Mandatory Detention is a very specific point-in-time "stata" reseasoment that is calculated only at the time the individual is correctly held in ICE outday.
47	RC Threat Level	Not provided. ERO has provided 449. 'Detauner Threat Level' that is calculated for each detainer and located in the detainer and execution criminality at the time the detainer was progrand.  RC Threat Level is a calculation that exists in the Removals and is a measure that is relative to criminality at the time of removal. This has not operational relevancy to Detainers and is not something that shows up in the detainers for a future cromoval.	47		Not provided. ERO has provided #49 "Detainer Threst Level" that is calculated for each detainer and located in the detainer and describes criminality at the time the detainer was prepared.  RC Threst Level is a calculation that coids in the Removals and is a measure that is relative to criminality at the time of removal. This has not operational relevancy to Detainers and is not something that shows up in the detainers for a future removal.
48	00000	Not provided. PRO has provided #49 "Detainer Threat Level" that is calculated for each detainer and located in he detainer and control in the detainer.	48	All information used in determining the RC Threat Level for each occur.	Not provided. ERO has provided \$49 "Detainer Threat Love" that is calculated for each detainer and located in the detainer and
		describes criminality at the time fine detainer was propored.  RC Threat Level at a calculation that exists in the Removals and it as measure that in relative to criminality at the time of removal. This has not operational reformacy to Detainers and it not something that shows up in the detainers for a future removal.			describes criminality at the tipse the detainer was prepared.  RC Threat Lovel is a calculation that entaits in the Removals and as a measure that is relative to criminality at the time of removals. This has not operational elements to Detainers and in not something that developing the debatem for a feture removal.
49			60	And the contract of the party	
50	Detainer Threat Level  All information used in determissing the Detainer Threat Level for such person.	Provided. This data pount appears in the Detainer's portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time. In Parliance reservocation, Not provided. ERO has provided #49 "Detainer Threat Level" that is calculated fire each detainer and housing in the detainer and describes criminally at the time. The detainer was propayed.	50	Detainer Threat Level  All informations used in determining the Detainer Threat Level for each persons	Detainer Throat Level  Not provided. ERO has provided #49 "Detainer Throat Level" that is calculated for each detainer and located in the intensor and describes criminality at the time, the defaulter was proposed.
		Supporting details on not available in the detainer. Underlying criminal information that is recorded on the detainer forms (where a valiable dependent upon the form version) is provided by ERO under #3.3.3.6; #5.9 ("Criminal Street Cang Yor No", "Price Petany Yor No", "Aggressated Felony Yor No", "Vickent Mademonutor You No" and "Multiple Price Mick Yor No") in its			Supporting details are not evaluable in the detailour. Underlying oriented information that is recorded on the designer farms (where swaleshie dependant upon the form version) is provided by ERO under #3.23.6; #3.9 ("Criminal Street Gang Yes Not." Augusted Folony Yes Not." Nicitation of the Not of the Not of the Not." Augusted Folony Yes Not. The Not of the Not. The Not of the Not. The Not of the Not.
		subministers. Additional criminal information for the dominor in provided in #49 "dotainer threat level" and #41 "aggression falses 1990."			submissions. Additional criminal information for the dotainor is provided in \$49 "detainer threat level" and #43 "aggravated felon- type."
51	LESC LI L2 L3	Not provided. An officer does not record "LESC L1. L2 or L3" anywhere on the detainer form.	51	LESC LI,L2, L3	Not provided. An officer does not record "LESC L1, L2 or L3" anywhere on the detainer form.
52	All information used in determining the LESC level for each person	enywhere pe the detainer form.	52	All information used to determining the LENC level for each perion	suyuhers on the detainer form.
53	Convicted Criminal LL(2, L3	Not provided. ERO has provided #49" Detainer Threat Level* that is exclusion for each detainer and location the detainer and describes criminality at the time the detainer was propared. Underlying criminal information that is recorded on the detainer forms (where a svalable depondant upon the form warsion) is provided by ERO under #3.23.6 ft 39" (Criminal Street Gang Yes No"; "Prior Fedory Yes No"; "Aggarvated Fedory Yes No"; "No"; "Nichal Middemaner Yes No" and "Mullople Prior Mid Yes No") in its submissions. Additional criminal information for the detainer is growled in #49" detainer threat level" and #43 "aggravated felon type."	53		Not provided. ERO has provided #89 'Detainer Threat Lovel' that is calculated for each detainer and located in the detainer and observibles reiminality at the time the detainer was prepared. Underlying criminal information that is recorded on the destainer forms (where a waitable dependant upon the form version) is provided by ERO under #3.23.6 1;93 'PC Criminal Store Gang Yes No". Their Felony Yes No". "Aggravated Felony Yes No". "No" in its submissions. Additional criminal information for the detainer is provided in #49 "detainer threat lovel" and #43 "aggravated felon type."

S4 12	Try and all information used in determining the convicted orbinal	Not provided. ERO has provided #49 "Detainer Threat Lovel" that	(54	Any and all information sand is determining the convicted crimin	al Not provided. ERO has provided #49 "Datainer Threat Level" the
		is calculated for each designer and beautif in the designer and proposed, discribes variatingly with the tool featurer was proposed, Supersing details are not residuhe in the electric. Underlying calcular information fool in reserved on the designer factor anniable department gain the form working is growed and to the providence of the proposed properties of the Commission of the Commiss			is calculated for each delative and locative in the designer and described estimately with their not feasings was prepared. Supporting design are not explicitly in the designer. Supporting design are not explicitly in the designer. Describing command sufferenties to the in recorded by the designer former (whom available deposition) and their receiving it provided by 25th under #0.2.54 (5.9 O'Chinnial) 2000 of Gaing Yes No." Note Their Politics and Politics of their Additional arises for the designer in the Commandation of the designer in the contraction. Additional natural imbronal on the designer is provided in 460 "designer figure toward and 943 "aggregated faiture type."
55	ICE Priority indicator(s):	Preface to a request; nothing is requested.	55	ICE Priority indicator(s):	Preface to a request; nothing is requested.
	Official field by the dipondy in the control of the	Act provided, ERO provided the checkbox information on part of \$2.5 that comes from all various of the debution firm. However, these specific debts and read from \$5.1.455 see see to bedded on the statement form.  The debture from doors we's contain a field specific to TCD, principles or the subjection described is \$5.1.257 that were specific to the new-debtary described in \$5.1.257 that were specific to the new-debtary described in \$5.1.257 that were specific to the new-debtary described in \$5.1.257 that were specific to be new-debtary described.  The Medium manuscription focused on prioritizing removals for the Agency. ERO that no operational regularisation to study the debtariers.	553		85 that comes from all versions of the denisor form. However, these speads fields confined from 51.2455 x runs included on the denisor fixer. The denisor fixer. The denisor fixer is not fixed from 51.2455 x first tweet speads for the stablished described as 51.555 finit were spead to the representations. The fixer form for the fixer fixer for fixer fixer for fixer fixer for fixer fixer for fixer fixer fixer for fixer fi
	rice removal and rotum (and if yes, date of previous removal redo)	Not provided. ERO provided the checkbox information as part of 3 that comes from all variants of the detainer form. However, these specific fields outlined from 55.1-55.3 are not tracked on the detainer form.  The detainer form does not contain a field specific to TCE priorities' or the sub-fields described in 55.1-55.5 that were specific to the now-defined "Motron Memorandium."  The Motron memorandium focused on prioritizing ramovals for his Agency. ERO had no operational requirement to track for detainers.	55.2	Prior removal and return (and if yes, date of previous removal order)	Not provided. ERO provided the checkbox information as part of 35 that comes from all versions of the detainer form. However, these specific fields outlisted from \$5,1-55.5 are not tracked on the detainer form.  The detainer form does not contain a field specific to "ICE priorities" or the subfields described in \$5,1-55.5 that were specific to the nove-definer. "Mornon Monoraudum."  The Mornon monorandum focused on prioritizing removals for his Agency. ERO had no operational requirement to track for detainers.
		Not provided. ERC provided the checkbox information as part of 40 fluid posses the section of the detailer form. However, these specific fluids enabled flows 35: 1555 are not traded on the detailer form. The detailer flows 35: 1555 are not related on the detailer form. The section of the section of the principles form does not contain a field specific to TCE principles form does not contain a field specific to TCE principles for the spitialist detailed in 55:1-53; that was specific to the new delicant Tolerta Memoration.*  The Mexican memorated formed on prioriting reservals for lot Against. ERC had no operational regressment to track for delicars.	583		Not provided. ERO provided the electron information to part of 49 that comes flym all ventures of the cleaner form. However, these specific flock coultend from \$3.95 5 5 or sent totaked on the desarror form.  The desarror form does not coming a find specific to "CCF provision" or the minimise fearer-ford in \$51,35.0 that were provided to the non-electron formation. The first to momentum forces on prioriting retrovals for the Appear, ERO had no operational requirement to track for desirators.
55.4	Nerstäyed visa	Not provided. ERO provided the checkbox information as part of 83 that comes from all various of the detainer form. However, these specific fields outland from 55:1555 are not tracked on the detainer form.  The detainer form does not contain a field specific to TCE priorities" or the subfields described in 55:1-555 that were specific to the now-detainer "Morton Memorandom."  The Morton memorandom focused on prioritizing removals for the Agency. ERO had no operational copierment to track for detainers.	55.4	Overslayed visa	Not provided. ERO provided the checkbox information as part of 83 that comes from all versions of the detainer form. However, these specific fields cuttined from 53-1-55 star not tracked on the detainer form.  The detainer form does not contain a field specific to "ICE priorities" or the subfields described in 55-1-55.5 that were specific to the now-defainer. "Morton Memorandum."  The Morton memorandum focused on prioritizing removals for the Agency. ERO had no operational requirement to track for detainers.
	Consider Positive ground	Not prevailed. ERD perioded the checkbox information as part of 45 that cannot from all transics of the designe force. However, there appeals follow surfaced from 21.455 or or not transics on the checker force. The designe stem does not contain a field specific to W.S. priorities" or the not-field described in 55.155.5 that were specific to the non-designed "Mortes Manuscreation. The bettern responsables forced or prioritizing reservable for the Agency, ERO had no operational requirement to track for delations.	353	Record border conserva-	Not provided. ERO provided the checkbook information as part of 43 that comes form all veryions of the depairs form. However, these specific fields calified from 55-1-55.5 was not tracked on the detainer flow. The detains flow to ot contain a find specific to "CLS priorition" or the subfields described in 55-1-55.5 that were specific to the consediment Nations Magnetinedom. The latters incrimentally focus from prioritioning resolves for the Agency ERO had no operational requirement to track for detainers.
	Il information used in determining the ICE priority level for each erson	Not provided. ERO provided the checkbox information as part of #3 that comes from all versions of the datasers from. However, these specific fields outlined from 551-555 are not tracked on the detainer form.  The detainer form does not contain a field specific to "ICE priorities" or the subfields described in 551-555 that were specific to the now-definite "Morton Memorandiam".  The Motton memorandium ficused on prioritizing removals for the Agency. ERO had no operational requirement to track for detainers.	56	All information used in determining the ICE priority level for each person	Not provided. ERO provided the checkbox information as part of if that comes from all versions of the detainer form. However, those specific fields cuttlend from \$5.1-5.5 are not tracked on the detainer form.  The detainer form does not contain a field specific to TCE priorities' or the subfields described in \$5.1-5.5 that were specific to the now-efficient "Morton Monoradium."  The Morton monorandum focused on prioritizing removals for the Agency. ERO had no operational requirement to track for detainers.
P P P	Herry per News 20,001 and the per News 20,001 and the per News 20,001 and the per News 20,000 and the per New 20,000 and the per News 20,000 and the per New 20,000 and the per News 20,000 and the per New 20,000 and	for position. TRO position the all for map makes a manifolding to them that was updated by far a gargety either the Navanine 2016 monormals and available of sole and checklone 2016 monormals and available of sole and checklone 2016 monormals by the efficience on the determinant function them.  Area is a support of the checklone of the checklone in the checklone form.	57	to type home 2001 and to up plan to the contract of the contra	has provided IRO provided that if he repeated dominars and official last Party has were repetited by the Agency after the content of Party has the content of the Iron and the Action of Party was marged by the officery in the assumption of the Con- ley. Operationally, there was no specific printly that was assigned by officers up the detailor from.
58 20	toy and all information used in determining Priority per November 2,2014 memorandum	notifications forms that were updated by the Agency after the November 2014 monorandum and included all relevant checkboves in #3 that were marked by the officers on the detailor notification forms. There was not specific priority that was assigned by officers on the detainer form.	58	Any and all information used in determining Priority per Novemb 20,2014 memorandum	notifications forms that were updated by the Agency after the November 2014 memorandum and included all relevant checkboxes in 13 that were marked by the officers on the detaun-robification forms.  There was not specific priority that was assigned by officers on the detainer form.
59 0	worker of the least the Percent J AVIII 24TD least award to consider the constraint of the percent of the perce	Not provided. URO offices do not record inferentiate on the denium four regarding the insures of local law conformant cutsely, "including if from to long around ofter conviction" or that is not required on the delatest form. SEE 860 for further explanation and what is recorded on the delates form.	*	whether at the fuse the Form 1-247N was proof the advisited as serving tone with conviction for a critical official official	b) For provided, ERO-efficient do not record information on the domain from regarding the nature of Ironal law underconnect country," and large if them to begin period after consigning," as that is not required on the dechainst form.

	if the individual was serving time, the information concerning that offense (date of the charge, date of the conviction, date serving sentance began, where the individual was incarcarsted at the time the FORM [2471-2470 was issued, whether ficility is a Gordant state; or local facility, the facility code, facility mane, state, country, city, facility address), NCIC code for charge, level of offense (Irdeny, misdemeanor, citation, etc.), sentence received]	Not provided. ERO officers do not record detailed information on the detainer form regarding the details of why the individual is held in cateday by local law on information. however, there were portains of this request that are recorded on the detainer form and are provided by ERO where possible:  (1) if the individual was serving time, the information concerning that offinate (date of the charge date of the conviction, date serving stratecto-legan While there is a spot on the forms to be filled out for "lastest charge-conviction" and "dast", this information is not manufactor, does not nocessary home that is why that LEA has the individual in custody and is not available destronically in the database. "MPIGRANL NOTE: chock with officers if this is likely filled out by local LEA (22) where the individual was incurcorated at the time the FORM 12471-1247D was is much whether facility is a federal-state, or local facility, the facility code, facility same, state, county, city, facility address): ERO provided 466-99 regarding the name of the jaid of facility which the individual was detained where the Form 1-2471-247D was sent" and associated facility of the name of the jaid of facility which the individual was formation of the provided of the control of the provided of the pr		If the individual was serving time, the information concerning that offices (date of the charge, date of the convicion, date serving sentence began where the individual was supercreated at the time the FORM 1-247N was issued, whether facility is a federal, take, or local facility, the facility code, facility mane, take county, city, facility address), NCIC code for charge, level of offense (fickiny, misdemeanor, citation, etc.), sentence received)	by ERO where possible:  (1) if the individual was saving time, the information concerning that offense [alter of the charge, date of the conviction, date saving sentence begon. While there is a spot on the forms to be filled out for a letter of the conviction of t
62	program code at time I-247/I-247D issued	from . Con enterpry limit? model cells in the Recommiss case, covering, it is of arms that care, raingury is not studied in a behavioral sample to got little be stocked. It is related to be designed proposed.  This is similar to 411.  Not provided. Program Code does not exist on the detainer form and in not recorded by the officer who prepared the detainer. Further analysis would be required to cons-walk the employee	62	program code at time Form I-247N issued	form — Case category find twoids count in the Removals case, two covery, it is distole that case category is not twicked in a bistorical number to pull "at the time of "in relation to detainers propined.  This is similar to #11.  Not provided. Program Code does not exist on the detainer form and is not recorded by the officer who prepared the detainer. Further analysis would be required to cross-walk the employer
	more allone in presidentations of september 2011 (2011) and 3011 (2011) and 3011) and 3011 (2011) and 3011) and 3011 (2011) and 3011) an	historical files.		and the second s	historical files.
	name of the original exacting or occurations authority	detains is prepared (which is provided) and door not robid the law conforcement entity responsible for the accest prior to consing to the attacken of SRC <sub>2</sub> i.e. conference to the farm is this tracked:	6	nume of the original presting or conviction authority	Not provided. ERO only records the current facility vehicle the datasian is proposed (which is provided) and does not round the law authorousest mainly responsible for the arrang prior to causing to the strention of ERO; i.e. nowhere in the form to this tracked.
	city, county and state of the original arresting or conviction authority	Not provided ERO only records the current facility where the detainer is prepared (which is provided) and does not record the law enforcement entity responsible for the arrest prior to coming to the attention of ERO. i.e. nowhere in the form is this tracked	64	city, county and state of the original arresting or conviction authority	Not provided. ERO only records the current facility where the detainer is prepared (which is provided) and does not record the law enforcement entity responsible for the surrest prior to coming to the attention of ERO; i.e. nowhere in the form is this tracked
66	date of the veriginal general/standering or brook in  name of the jail or facility in which the individual was detained where the Form 1-2471-247D was sent	No provided. ENC-only recents the current facility shares the denine is present closely in providing and two as returned by far enhancement cutting realized by the present price to coming to the arteristics of ENCs, i.e. worknown in the new later to coming to the arteristics of ENCs, i.e. worknown in the Detaumers portion of the debatase because it is recorded at the time a Detaumer over of our	65 66	date of the original arrest torrection or book-in  name of the pall or facility in which the individual was detained where the FORM 1-247% was sent	Not genided. ERO only records the current facility, share the chance is appeared freshir in protectly and does not record the jear endormoust; untilly responsible for the entering free to coming to the shoulders of ERO; i.e. no others in the face in the tracked. Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the debates because it is recorded at the time a Detainers portion of
67 68	sode of the fall or Reality in which the individual was detained where the Firms 1.3477.247D was lead city, country and state of the jail or facility in which the individual was detained prior where the Form 1-2471-247D was sent	Provided. This data point appears in the Determore parties of the debattace because it is recorded at fire time a Detainer overst courts. Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabattase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer overst occurs.	67 68	code of the just or floibly in which the individual was detained where the Foun \$247N was sent city, county and state of the just or facility in which the individual was detained prior where the Form I= 247/1-247D was sent	Provided. This data point appears in the Continuers portion of the dahattase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer award occurs. Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dahatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.
	Type of facility in which the individual was detained where the form 1-247/8-2470 was per shoulding.  ownership (federal, state, local, private).	Provists. This does point agrees in the Deminest portion of the deleties because if it remoded at the line a Deminer core decours. Not provided IERO provided number 60 "type of facility in which the individual was destanted where the Form I-2477-1277 was sent including" (Detainer Defention Facility Type); the additional level of detail regarding contracts is not recorded on the detainer form.	<b>69.</b> 1	type of helity in which the instructual was detained whose the Form 1-247N was men including jurnsdiction (foderal state: local private),	Provided. This date yout appears in the Detainer portion of the delatance because it is recorded at their in the Detainer core occup. Not provided ERO provided number 69 "Type of facility in which the mirividual was destained where the Form 12:471-2472 was sent including" (Detainer Detention Facility Type); the additional level of detail reparting contracts as not recorded on the detainer form.
	special characteristics (juvenile facility, family facility, etc.)	Nee growinds. 2000 provided number 60. Types of findings in which including the terms 1-2078-2070, was sent including. Decimies Decimies healthy types, the admission total of deal requiring questions is not excepted to the decimies form.  Not provided. ERO provided number 69 "type of facility in which the individual was detained where the Form 1-2711-2471D was sent including." (Detained Decimies Form).	3.5	type (arison, julison) (cohor), special characteristics (juvenile facility, family facility, etc.)	Not provided. EAC provided number 69 "topa of facility in which, the individual was detailed where the Farm §2-747-2-470 was sent including (Destain Potention Facility Type), the editional level of detail requiring contracts if not recorded on the detailer farm.  Not provided. ERC provided number 69 "type of facility in which the individual was detailed where the Farm 1-2471-24710 was sent including." (Destained Destain Farm) Type), the additional level of detail regarding contracts is not recorded on the detailer form.
69.5	operated by government vs private company and if private, name of rivate company	the individual was detained where the Form 1-2471-247D was sent including" (Detainer Detention Facility Type); the additional level of	69.5	level of econity  operated by government vs private company and if private, name of private company	the individual was detained where the Form I-247/I-247D was sent including (Detainer Detention Facility Type); the additional level of
69.6 f		detail regarding contracts is not recorded on the detainer form  Not provided. EBO provided number 69 "type of facility in which the individual was detailed volume the Form 1/2017-54(7)" was been individual was detailed volume the Form 1/2017-54(7)" was been individual "Onesting Detailed as Falls Vysock to additional Word Onesting Words (1)".	69.6	facility under government contract with KE to provide services (and Even contract number contract this, and constituting contract.	detail regarding contracts as not recorded on the detainer form.  Not provided, EBO provided number 69 "tops of facility is which the individual was detained where the form 1.747/1.7477
	facility under government contract with other than ICE to provide	Soul segreting contrasts to not second on the densities from	69.7	facility under government contract with other than ICE to provide	Not provided. EDD provided sumber 69 "tops of feeling in policit- the individual was definited when the first: 12/07.6-070 was sent including (Debiner Polenticia Feeling) Poppl first additional lovel of detail reparting contracts is not recorded on the delainer form. Not provided. ERO provided number 69 "tops of facility in which
S	contract number, contract date and organization contract with	the individual was detained where the Form 1-247 1-247D was sent including" (Detainer Detention Feelily Type); the additional level of detail regarding contracts is not recorded on the detainer form.		services (and if yes, name of government entity contract with, contract number, contract date and organization contract with	the individual was detained where the Form 1-247/1-247/D was sent including. (Detainer Detention Facility Type); the additional level of detail regarding contracts is not recorded on the detainer form.
e		Not provided. A 237g Elig does not exist on the demonst form, brought information does not exist on the demonst form and it such received by the officer who proposed the demons. Purcher mental received by the officer who proposed the demonst Further metal social to required to conserved the applyon biotested file.	70		Progress inflamention does not exect on the domainer form and is not recorded by the officer who proposed the domainer. Further analysis would be required to cross-well; the amployee historical files.
ir li	nteroperability match (yes-no)	Not provided. Officers do not mark anywhere on the detainer form or in the file that the detainer is result of "an IDENTIAFIS interoperability match." As there is no operational conjurement to track, this would require further in-depth analysis.	/I		Not provided. Officers do not mark anywhere on the detauer form or int the file that the detainer is result of "an IDENTIAPIS interoperability match." As there is no operational requirement to track, this would require further in-depth analysis.
72 9 h S	isteroperability match includes in the monthly statistics released for i	Not provided. The SC Monthly initiative report is a defined report flar was produced to report summary level dentile and does not postale individual-level mount details required to perform such a comparison in order to respond to this question.	72	Was the Form 1-247N issued following an IDENT/IAFIS Introopershilly match included in the receifty statistics released for Source Consumities (period)	Not provided. The SIC Monthly statistics report is a defause report that was produced to report summery level deaths and does not contain additional level record deaths required to perform such a compartion in order to proposed to this operation.

	Was the original Form 1-247/1-247D issued as a result of an arrest sy the Border Patrol (yes/no)	detainer form by the officer and can not be pulled from the detainer form. This is similar to #8; 63-65; 69. Additionally, CBP reports its own arrests		Border Patrol (yes/no)	Not provided. The "original arresting agency" is not recorded on the detainer form by the officer and can not be pulled from the detainer form. This is similar to #8, 63-65, 69.  Additionally, CBP reports its own arrests.
74 8	seen of the grogram or area associated with the original arrest or pprohinative (criminal size: grogram, flighter operations, office of reconstructive, border parted operation streamling, other border arted program, source commissibles, 287(g), dis )	Not provided. The "original arresting againsy" is not recorded on the definition from by the officer. Program of any nort is not recorded by the officer on the detainer form. Related to 973 and Similar to 84, 963-65 & 69.	74	name of the program or area executed with the original arrest or apprehension (original aims program, lightive operations, office of investigations, butter participations are made on the loader paired program, sooms communities, 287(2), do.)	Not provided. The "enignal executing agency" is not recorded on the detainer form by the officer. Program of any nort is not recorded by the officer on the detainer forms. Related to #73 and Smiller to #8, #63-65 & 69
75 ti	he apprehension method associated with the latest apprehension	Not provided. The apprehension method is not recorded on the detainer form by the officer.	75	the apprehension method associated with the latest apprehension	Not provided. The apprehension method is not recorded on the detainer form by the officer.
*	name of the non-ICE agency associated with the original arrest or producesor, I (if applicable)	Not provided. The "original arreating agency" is not recorded on the detailers form by the officer and can not be pulled from the doctainer favour. Programs of any nort is not recorded by the officer on the detailer farm. SEE Clinton from #63.  Similar to #8, #63-63 & 69, #73 & #74	76	name of the non-ICE agency associated with the original arrest or approleumic'.1 (if applicable)	Not provided. The "criginal arresting agency" is not recorded on the decision from by the officer and one not be patied from the desistent drawer. Programs of any series pair concided by the officer on the decision form. SEE Citation from #63 limites to #6, #63-65 & 69, #72 & #74
-	odkrendi folim da odnik Statistica (* ) NTA_ACTIVE_IND	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs	77	DTA_ACTIVE_IND	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.
	OTA ACT INVT IND	Not provided, ERO provides the cheethor, 88.3. Deposits for Ordered YuePto where it appears on the appropriate Sense in the submissions. The fines mape does not exist in IRDS database but in the ERD. Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the	7 <b>8</b> 79	DTA_ACT_INVT_IND	Not provided. ERO provides the checkbox #1.8. Deportation. Ordered VerAlbo where it appears on the appropriets forces in its submissions. The their name does not unit in #IDS dashboss but in the IDP.  Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the
80 E	7/A ACT ONC DI	dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs (for Form 1-247 does not appear on form 1-247D)  Provided. This data point appears in the Dataleers portion of the databatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.	80	DIA ACTOSC DE	dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.  Not Provided. Does not appear on the 1-247N Form.
81 D	TTA_ACT_OSC_IND	(for Form 1-247; does not appear on form 1-247D)  Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs	81	DTA_ACT_OSC_ND	Not Provided. Does not appear on the I-247N Form.
82 E	HA ACT WA DI	(for Form 1-247: does not appear on form 1-247D) Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the database because it is sported at the time a Detainer owned country (for Form 1-247) does not appear on form 1-247D)	<b>82</b>	DIA ACI WA DI	Not Provided. Does not appear on the 1-247% Form.
	OTA_ACT_WA_IND	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs (for Form I-247; does not appear on form I-247D)	83	DTA_ACT_WA_IND	Not Provided. Does not appear on the 1-247N Form.
	DTA_CREATE_DT	Provided. This data point appears in the Databers portion of the darbitage because it is recorded at the time a Databers event occurs. Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.	<b>84</b> 85	DIA_CREATE_DI	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatises because it is recorded at the time a Detainer seem contin- Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs,
	ITA DIF ID.	Provided. This date point appears in the Detainers portion of the debates because it in recorded at the time a Detainer event cocers.  Provided. This data point appears in the Detainer sportion of the	86 87	DTA DREED.	Provided. This data point appears in the Datamers portion of the debatase because it is recorded at the time a Datamer event occurs. Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the
	DIA PED REG IND	dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs. Drovided. This data point opposes in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.	8 <b>8</b>	DTA FID REQ IND	dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs. Prosided. This data point appears in the Detainers sortion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer sent occurs. Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers person of the
90 D	TA OR ID RETURN TO	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs. Provided. This data point appears in the Detailears portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detailear event occurs.	90	DTA OTE ID BETURN TO	dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.  Provided This data point appears is the Datainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer owner occurs.
	ITA_ID ITA_INMATE_NDR_TYPE_CD	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs. Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the classication of the classication because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.	91 92	DTA_ID  DTA_INMATE_NBR_TYPE_CD:	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dahatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs. Provided, This data point spopeers in the Detainers portion of the dahatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer over over occurs.
	TA_LIFT_DT  TA_OTHER_DFIC_CD	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.	93 94	DTA_LIFT_DT  DTA_OTHER_DIFTC_CD	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.
		Not provided SRC provides \$95 "Other Detainer Detention Facility some" where it appears on the appropriate farms in its submissions he item names doop not exist in IIIS database but in the EID.	ø		Not provided. LRO provides #95 "Other Detailer Detailer! Notifity mane: where if appears on the appropriate forms in its authorisation he thous name does not order in IDS database but in the ED.
	TA_OTHER_FACILITY DTA_PREPARE_DT	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs. Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer ownst occurs.	95 96	DTA_OTHER_FACILITY  DTA_PREPARE_DT	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs, previded. This deta point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.
97 D	TA_RDLC_CD	dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Debater ownt decease.  Not provided. ERO provides #5 Debater Lift Reason in its submissions. The item name does not exist in IIDS database but in the EID.	97	DTA_ROLC_CD	dabatase bouncie it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.  Not provided. ERO provides #5 Detainer Lift Reason in its submissions. The item name does not exist in IIDS database but in the EID.
	TA RELEASE DAY  TA RELEASE DT	Provided. This data point appears in the Determers portion of the debetage betaute; it is recorded at the time a Determer event occurs. Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the	9 <b>9</b>	DTA_RELEASE_DAY DTA_RELEASE_DT	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the debarase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs. Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the
	TA RELEASE MONTH	dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.  Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the defaultse because it is recorded at the time a Detainer went occurs.  Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the	100	DTA RELEASE MANTH DTA RELEASE YEAR	dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs. Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs. Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the
	NA REQ ACCEPT IND	dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.  Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the idabateup because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.		DTA RIGO ACCEPT IND	dabatase bocause it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.  Not Provided Does not appear on the L247N Porm.
103 D	TA_REQ_DEATH_TRANSF IND	(for Perm 1-247, does not appear on form 1-247D)  Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs (for Form 1-247, does not appear on form 1-247D)	103	DTA_REQ_DEATH_TRANSF IND	Not Provided. Does not appear on the I-247N Form.
104 D	TA, REQ DAVISOR AND	Provided First data paper and not in F-47D person of the debates because it is recorded at the tipes a Detailing over the course (for Perm 1-247, does not appear on from 1-247D)	104	DTA REQ ENVELOPE IND	Not Provided. Does not appear on the L247N Ferm
	TA_REQ_NOTIFY_RELEASE_IND TA_REQ_RETURN_SIG_IND	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the	105	DTA_REQ_NOTIFY_RELEASE_IND  DTA_REQ_BETURN_SIG_BND	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs. Not Provided. Does not appear on the I-247N Form.
107 D	TA_RETURN_BY_FAX_IND	Provided. This data gainst appears in the Debalmars position of the dashesses because it is impossed at the time a Debalmar voust occurs. It is reported at the time a Debalmar voust occurs. For Fourier Johnson of the Peru 1927, does not appears in the Debalmars portion of the dashesas because it is recorded at the time a Persiant event occurs.	107	DTA_RETURN_BY_FAX_I ND	Not Provided. Does not appear on the I-247N Form.
108 Se	apones analysis of other designation to identify records relating to	(for Form 1-247: does not appear on form 1-247D)	308	Sequence examine or other designation to identify records relating to	Not provided SRO provided Subject ID, Ed Cv Pers Id, Detainer Id, and Est Ov Id as identifiers and were provided in #109 and #
		110 in its submissions.			110 in its submissions
on	bject ID so Form 1-247D record can be associated with DHS data other activity related to this individual	There no other adjustified identifier ender: There is no I universal identifier for all individuals Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.	109	Subject ID so Form I-247N record can be associated with DHS data on other activity related to this individual	There no other segmential identifier endsts. There is no I universal- identifier for all individuals.  Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dabatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs.
111 Az	ID Person ID so Form I-247D record one be associated DRS data is other solveily related to this inclinidual ny other ID recorded in ICE records so that the FormI-247D-247D cord can be associated with other activity or information related to	Provided This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dishelane because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occars. Not provided ERO provided Subject ID. End Cry Pers Id. Detainer	110 111	EID Person ID so Form I-247N rooms can be associated DHS data on other activity related to this individual	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the dubutuse because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event occurs. Not provided. ERO provided Subject ID. End City Pers Id. Detainer.
thi	is individual	submissions. There is no 1 universal identifier for all individuals		this individual	Id. and Eid Civ Id as identifiers for # 109 and# 110 in its submissions.  There is no 1 universal identifier for all individuals
112 Fo	om I-267D so record one be associated with DHS data on other tions related to this Form I-267A-247D	Provided. This date point appears in the Debiners portion of the dahatese because it is recorded at the time a Defairer ovent occurs.	112	Form 1-247N so record can be associated with DHS data on other actions related to this Form 3-247N	Provided. This data point appears in the Detainers portion of the debatase because it is recorded at the time a Detainer event opens.

# **EXHIBIT B**

# **DETAINER FORM I-247**

# DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

# **IMMIGRATION DETAINER - NOTICE OF ACTION**

Subject ID:		File No: Date:
Event #:	]	Date.
TO: (Name and Title of Institution - OR Any Subsequent Enforcement Agency)	ent Law	FROM: (Department of Homeland Security Office Address)
MAINTAIN CUSTORY	OF ALIEN FOR A	PERIOD NOT TO EXCEED 48 HOURS
Name of Alien:		PERIOD NOT TO EXCEED 46 HOURS
Date of Birth:	Nationality:	Sex:
	• •	AS TAKEN THE FOLLOWING ACTION RELATED TO
THE PERSON IDENTIFIED ABOVE, CURR  Determined that there is reason to believe the all that apply):		<b>JSTODY:</b> n subject to removal from <b>the United States</b> . The individual ( <i>che</i>
<ul> <li>has a prior a felony conviction or has been of offense;</li> </ul>	_	□ has been convicted of illegal entry pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1325;
□ has three or more prior misdemeanor convic		has illegally re-entered the country after a previous remov
<ul> <li>has a prior misdemeanor conviction or has be misdemeanor for an offense that involves vio</li> </ul>		or return;  has been found by an immigration officer or an immigration.
assaults; sexual abuse or exploitation; drivin	g under the influence	judge to have knowingly committed immigration fraud;
of alcohol or a controlled substance; unlawfu scene of an accident; the unlawful possession or other deadly weapon, the distribution or tr	on or use of a firearm	<ul> <li>otherwise poses a significant risk to national security, bord security, or public safety; and/or</li> </ul>
controlled substance; or other significant three	eat to public safety;	other (specify):
Initiated removal proceedings and served a attached and was served on		ther charging document. A copy of the charging document is
Served a warrant of arrest for removal proce	edings. A copy of the	warrant is attached and was served on(
Obtained an order of deportation or removal	from the United State	s for this person.
		ed to this person's custody classification, work, quarter ninal charges based on the existence of a detainer.
IT IS REQUESTED THAT YOU:		
the time when the subject would have otherwing request derives from federal regulation 8 C.F. the subject beyond these 48 hours. As earl DHS by calling during busine	ise been released from .R. § 287.7. For purpo y as possible prior to the ess hours or ct the ICE Law Enforce	<b>NOURS</b> , excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays, beyond in your custody to allow DHS to take custody of the subject. This uses of this immigration detainer, <b>you are not authorized to hold</b> the time you otherwise would release the subject, please notifyafter hours or in an emergency. If you cannot reach a ement Support Center in Burlington, Vermont at: (802) 872-602
Notify this office of the time of release at least	st 30 days prior to rele	ease or as far in advance as possible.
Notify this office in the event of the inmate's		
Consider this request for a detainer operativ	•	·
Cancel the detainer previously placed by this	s Office on	(date).
(Name and title of Immigration Officer	)	(Signature of Immigration Officer)
Please provide the information below, sign, and	return to DHS using th	CURRENTLY HOLDING THE SUBJECT OF THIS NOTICE ne envelope enclosed for your convenience or by faxing a copy your own records so you may track the case and not hold the
Local Booking/Inmate #: Late	est criminal charge/cor	nviction: (date) Estimated release: (date
Last criminal charge/conviction:		
		ved from the United States. If the individual may be the victim o
	the United States for pa	rosecution or other law enforcement purposes, including acting
(Name and title of Officer)		(Signature of Officer)

#### NOTICE TO THE DETAINEE

The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) has placed an immigration detainer on you. An immigration detainer is a notice from DHS informing law enforcement agencies that DHS intends to assume custody of you after you otherwise would be released from custody. DHS has requested that the law enforcement agency which is currently detaining you maintain custody of you for a period not to exceed 48 hours (excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays) beyond the time when you would have been released by the state or local law enforcement authorities based on your criminal charges or convictions. If DHS does not take you into custody during that additional 48 hour period, not counting weekends or holidays, you should contact your custodian (the law enforcement agency or other entity that is holding you now) to inquire about your release from state or local custody. If you have a complaint regarding this detainer or related to violations of civil rights or civil liberties connected to DHS activities, please contact the ICE Joint Intake Center at 1-877-2INTAKE (877-246-8253). If you believe you are a United States citizen or the victim of a crime, please advise DHS by calling the ICE Law Enforcement Support Center toll free at (855) 448-6903.

#### NOTIFICACIÓN A LA PERSONA DETENIDA

El Departamento de Seguridad Nacional (DHS) de EE. UU. ha emitido una orden de detención inmigratoria en su contra. Mediante esta orden, se notifica a los organismos policiales que el DHS pretende arrestarlo cuando usted cumpla su reclusión actual. El DHS ha solicitado que el organismo policial local o estatal a cargo de su actual detención lo mantenga en custodia por un período no mayor a 48 horas (excluyendo sábados, domingos y días festivos) tras el cese de su reclusión penal. Si el DHS no procede con su arresto inmigratorio durante este período adicional de 48 horas, excluyendo los fines de semana o días festivos, usted debe comunicarse con la autoridad estatal o local que lo tiene detenido (el organismo policial u otra entidad a cargo de su custodia actual) para obtener mayores detalles sobre el cese de su reclusión. Si tiene alguna queja que se relacione con esta orden de detención o con posibles infracciones a los derechos o libertades civiles en conexión con las actividades del DHS, comuníquese con el Joint Intake Center (Centro de Admisión) del ICE (Servicio de Inmigración y Control de Aduanas) llamando al 1-877-2INTAKE (877-246-8253). Si usted cree que es ciudadano de los Estados Unidos o que ha sido víctima de un delito, infórmeselo al DHS llamando al Centro de Apoyo a los Organismos Policiales (Law Enforcement Support Center) del ICE, teléfono (855) 448-6903 (Ilamada gratuita).

#### Avis au détenu

Le département de la Sécurité Intérieure [Department of Homeland Security (DHS)] a émis, à votre encontre, un ordre d'incarcération pour des raisons d'immigration. Un ordre d'incarcération pour des raisons d'immigration est un avis du DHS informant les agences des forces de l'ordre que le DHS a l'intention de vous détenir après la date normale de votre remise en liberté. Le DHS a requis que l'agence des forces de l'ordre, qui vous détient actuellement, vous garde en détention pour une période maximum de 48 heures (excluant les samedis, dimanches et jours fériés) au-delà de la période à la fin de laquelle vous auriez été remis en liberté par les autorités policières de l'État ou locales en fonction des inculpations ou condamnations pénales à votre encontre. Si le DHS ne vous détient pas durant cette période supplémentaire de 48 heures, sans compter les fins de semaines et les jours fériés, vous devez contacter votre gardien (l'agence des forces de l'ordre qui vous détient actuellement) pour vous renseigner à propos de votre libération par l'État ou l'autorité locale. Si vous avez une plainte à formuler au sujet de cet ordre d'incarcération ou en rapport avec des violations de vos droits civils liées à des activités du DHS, veuillez contacter le centre commun d'admissions du Service de l'Immigration et des Douanes [ICE - Immigration and Customs Enforcement] [ICE Joint Intake Center] au 1-877-2INTAKE (877-246-8253). Si vous croyez être un citoyen des États-Unis ou la victime d'un crime, veuillez en aviser le DHS en appelant le centre d'assistance des forces de l'ordre de l'ICE [ICE Law Enforcement Support Center] au numéro gratuit (855) 448-6903.

#### **AVISO AO DETENTO**

O Departamento de Segurança Nacional (DHS) emitiu uma ordem de custódia imigratória em seu nome. Este documento é um aviso enviado às agências de imposição da lei de que o DHS pretende assumir a custódia da sua pessoa, caso seja liberado. O DHS pediu que a agência de imposição da lei encarregada da sua atual detenção mantenha-o sob custódia durante, no máximo, 48 horas (excluindo-se sábados, domingos e feriados) após o período em que seria liberado pelas autoridades estaduais ou municipais de imposição da lei, de acordo com as respectivas acusações e penas criminais. Se o DHS não assumir a sua custódia durante essas 48 horas adicionais, excluindo-se os fins de semana e feriados, você deverá entrar em contato com o seu custodiante (a agência de imposição da lei ou qualquer outra entidade que esteja detendo-o no momento) para obter informações sobre sua liberação da custódia estadual ou municipal. Caso você tenha alguma reclamação a fazer sobre esta ordem de custódia imigratória ou relacionada a violações dos seus direitos ou liberdades civis decorrente das atividades do DHS, entre em contato com o Centro de Entrada Conjunta da Agencia de Controle de Imigração e Alfândega (ICE) pelo telefone 1-877-246-8253. Se você acreditar que é um cidadão dos EUA ou está sendo vítima de um crime, informe o DHS ligando para o Centro de Apoio à Imposição da Lei do ICE pelo telefone de ligação gratuita (855) 448-6903

#### THÔNG BÁO CHO NGƯỜI BỊ GIAM GIỮ

Bộ Quốc Phòng (DHS) đã có lệnh giam giữ quý vị vì lý do di trú. Lệnh giam giữ vì lý do di trú là thông báo của DHS cho các cơ quan thi hành luật pháp là DHS có ý định tạm giữ quý vị sau khi quý vị được thả. DHS đã yêu cầu cơ quan thi hành luật pháp hiện đang giữ quý vị phải tiếp tục tạm giữ quý vị trong không quá 48 giờ đồng hồ (không kể thứ Bảy, Chủ nhật, và các ngày nghỉ lễ) ngoài thời gian mà lễ ra quý vị sẽ được cơ quan thi hành luật pháp của tiểu bang hoặc địa phương thả ra dựa trên các bản án và tội hình sự của quý vị. Nếu DHS không tạm giam quý vị trong thời gian 48 giờ bổ sung đó, không tính các ngày cuối tuần hoặc ngày lễ, quý vị nên liên lạc với bên giam giữ quý vị (cơ quan thi hành luật pháp hoặc tổ chức khác hiện đang giam giữ quý vị) để hỏi về việc cơ quan địa phương hoặc liên bang thả quý vị ra. Nếu quý vị có khiếu nại về lệnh giam giữ này hoặc liên quan tới các trường hợp vi phạm dân quyền hoặc tự do công dân liên quan tới các hoạt động của DHS, vui lòng liên lạc với ICE Joint Intake Center tại số 1-877-2INTAKE (877-246-8253). Nếu quý vị tin rằng quý vị là công dân Hoa Kỳ hoặc nạn nhân tội phạm, vui lòng báo cho DHS biết bằng cách gọi ICE Law Enforcement Support Center tại số điện thọai miễn phí (855) 448-6903.

#### 对被拘留者的通告

美国国土安全部(DHS)已发出对你的移民监禁令。移民监禁令是美国国土安全部用来通告执法当局,表示美国国土安全部意图在你可能从当前的拘留被释放以后继续拘留你的通知单。美国国土安全部已经向当前拘留你的执法当局要求,根据对你的刑事起诉或判罪的基础,在本当由州或地方执法当局释放你时,继续拘留你,为期不超过 48 小时(星期六、星期天和假日除外)。如果美国国土安全部未在不计周末或假日的额外 48 小时期限内将你拘留,你应该联系你的监管单位(现在拘留你的执法当局或其他单位),询问关于你从州或地方执法单位被释放的事宜。如果你对于这项拘留或关于美国国土安全部的行动所涉及的违反民权或公民自由权有任何投诉,请联系美国移民及海关执法局联合接纳中心(ICE Joint Intake Center),电话号码是 1-877-2INTAKE (877-246-8253)。如果你相信你是美国公民或犯罪被害人,请联系美国移民及海关执法局的执法支援中心(ICE Law Enforcement Support Center),告知美国国土安全部。该执法支援中心的免费电话号码是 (855) 448-6903。

DHS Form I-247 (12/12)

# **EXHIBIT C**

**DETAINER FORM I-247D** 

Case 5:17-cv-00506-BKS-TWD Document 58-1 Filed 04/29/19 Page 41 of 45

# DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY (DHS) IMMIGRATION DETAINER – REQUEST FOR VOLUNTARY ACTION

	-		
Subject ID:	]		File No:
Event #:	]		Date:
TO: (Name and Title of Institution - OR Any Subsequent Enforcement Agency)	ient Law	FROM: (DHS Office	e Address)
Name of Subject:			
•	zenship:		Sex:
1.DES HAS DETERMINED HAT INSTERNAL			thin subsection B, or skip to section 2):
A. THE SUBJECT IS AN IMMIGRATION ENFO		BECAUSE HE/SH	E:
□ has engaged in or is suspected of terrorism			
<ul> <li>has been convicted of an offense of which at 521(a), or is at least 16 years old and intention</li> </ul>	onally participated in	an organized crimi	nal gang to further its illegal activities;
<ul> <li>has been convicted of an offense classified a alien's immigration status;</li> </ul>	as a felony, other tha	n a state or local of	fense for which an essential element was the
has been convicted of an aggravated felony,	as defined under 8	U.S.C. § 1101(a)(43	3) at the time of conviction;
☐ has been convicted of a "significant misdeme			
<ul> <li>has been convicted of 3 or more misdemean immigration status was an essential element</li> </ul>	ors, not including mi , provided the offens	nor traffic <b>offe</b> nses es arise out <b>of 3</b> se	and state or local offenses for which parate incidents.
B. PROBABLE CAUSE EXISTS THAT THE SU	BJECT IS A REMOV	ABLE ALIEN. THIS	DETERMINATION IS BASED ON:
□ a final order of removal against the subject;		10 Page 10 Pag	
<ul> <li>the pendency of ongoing removal proceeding</li> </ul>	500766	2000s	
<ul> <li>biometric confirmation of the subject's identified or in addition to other reliable information, the removable under U.S. immigration law; and/o</li> </ul>	at the s <b>ubject e</b> ither l	ck of federal databa acks immigration s	ases that affirmatively indicate, by themselves tatus or notwithstanding such status is
statements made voluntarily by the subject to subject either lacks immigration status or not	o an immigration offic		
Motor Constant and Conference		PER LOS	R INVESTIGATION.
☐ Upon completion of the proceeding or invest		CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR STATE STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	
custody of the subject to complete processing	g.	400	
<ul> <li>Serve a copy of this form on the subject and time when he/she would otherwise have been effect only if you serve a copy of this form beyond 48 hours. This request arises from rehabilitation, parole, release, diversion,</li> </ul>	on the subject, and medical properties and medical properties are	r custody to allow D it does not reques nd should not imp	t or authorize that you hold the subject act decisions about the subject's bail,
As early as possible prior to the time you off Customs Enforcement (ICE) or □ U.S. Cust	herwise would releas toms and Border Pro	e the subject, pleas tection (CBP) at	se notify DHS by calling  U.S. Immigration and
	§.		nforcement Support Center at: (802) 872-6020.
<ul> <li>Notify this office in the event of the subject's</li> </ul>	death, nospitalization	on or transfer to and	other institution.
☐ If checked: Please cancel the detainer rela	ated to this subject pr	reviously submitted	to you on (date).
(Name and title of Immigration Officer	r)		(Signature of Immigration Officer)
crime or you want the subject to remain in the U Support Center at (802) 872-6020. You may als	nited States for a law so call this number if	v enforcement purp you have any other	questions or concerns about this matter.
TO BE COMPLETED BY THE LAW ENFORCEME			
Please provide the information below, sign, and		_	
Local Booking/Inmate #: Est. rele			
Latest offense charged/convicted:			
This Form I-247D was served upon the subject of			=
☐ in person ☐ by inmate mail deliv	ery □ othe	er (please specify):	
(Name and title of Officer)			(Signature of Officer)

#### NOTICE TO THE DETAINEE

The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) has placed an immigration detainer on you. An immigration detainer is a notice to a law enforcement agency that DHS intends to assume custody of you (after you otherwise would be released from custody) because there is probable cause that you are subject to removal from the United States under federal immigration law. DHS has requested that the law enforcement agency that is currently detaining you maintain custody of you for a period not to exceed 48 hours beyond the time when you would have been released based on your criminal charges or convictions. If DHS does not take you into custody during this additional 48 hour period, you should contact your custodian (the agency that is holding you now) to inquire about your release. If you have a question or complaint regarding this detainer, please contact the ICE ERO Detention Reporting and Information Line at (888) 351-4024. For complaints related to alleged violations of civil rights or civil liberties connected to DHS activities, please contact the Joint Intake Center at (877) 2INTAKE (877-246-8253). If you believe you are a United States citizen or the victim of a crime, please advise DHS by calling the ICE Law Enforcement Support Center toll free at (855) 448-6903.

#### **NOTIFICACIÓN AL DETENIDO**

El Departamento de Seguridad Nacional (DHS) ha emitido una orden de detención inmigratoria en su contra. Una orden de detención inmigratoria es un aviso a la autoridad de seguridad pública que DHS tiene la intención de asumir custodia sobre usted (después que normalmente hubiera sido liberado de su custodia) porque existe causa probable que usted esté sujeto a ser removido de los Estados Unidos bajo la ley federal de inmigración. DHS ha pedido que la autoridad de seguridad pública que actualmente lo tiene detenido lo / la mantenga en su custodia por un período que no sobrepase 48 horas después del momento cuando usted hubiera sido liberado basado en sus cargos o condenas criminales. Si DHS no lo toma bajo su custodia durante este período adicional de 48 horas, usted debe contactar a la agencia responsable por su custodia (la que actualmente lo tiene detenido) para preguntar acerca de su liberación. Si usted tiene alguna pregunta o queja concerniente a esta orden de detención, por favor contacte la Línea para Reportar e Información de ICE ERO al (888) 351-4024. Para quejas relacionadas a violaciones alegadas de derechos civiles o libertades civiles conectadas a las actividades de DHS, por favor contacte al Joint Intake Center (Centro de Admisión) al (877) 2INTAKE (877-246-8253). Si usted cree ser un ciudadano de los Estados Unidos o víctima de un crimen, por favor avísele a DHS llamando gratis al ICE Law Enforcement Support Center (Centro de Apoyo de ICE para las Agencias para el Cumplimiento de la Ley) al (855) 448-6903.

#### **AVIS AU DETENU**

Le Département de la Sécurité Nationale (en anglais: DHS) a émis un ordre d'arrêt d'immigration contre vous. Un ordre d'arrêt d'immigration est un avis à un organisme d'application de la loi que DHS a l' intention d'assumer votre garde (après votre libération) car il existe cause probable que vous soyez sujet à l'expulsion des Etats-Unis en vertu du droit fédéral de l'immigration. DHS a demandé à l'agence d'application de la loi qui actuellement vous détient, de vous maintenir sous garde pendant une période n'excédant pas 48 heures après avoir été libéré en fonction des accusations ou condamnations criminelles contre vous. Si DHS ne vous prend pas en garde à vue au cours de cette période de 48 heures supplémentaires, vous devez contacter votre gardien (l'agence qui vous retient aujourd'hui) pour enquérir au sujet de votre libération. Si vous avez une question ou une complainte au sujet de cette demande, veuillez contacter la Ligne pour Rapporter et d'Information de ICE ERO au (888) 351-4024. Pour les plaintes relatives à des violations présumées des droits et libertés civils liés à des activités de DHS, veuillez contacter Joint Intake (Centre d'Admissions) au (877) 2INTAKE (877-246-8253). Si vous croyez que vous êtes un citoyen américain ou victime d'un crime, veuillez prévenir DHS, en appelant gratuitement ICE Law Enforcement Support Center (Centre d'Appui de ICE pour les Organismes d'Application de la Loi) au 855 448-6903.

#### **AVISO AO DETENTO**

O Departamento de Segurança Interna (DHS, pela sigla americana) emitiu uma ordem de custódia imigratória em seu nome. Este documento é um aviso enviado às agências de aplicação da lei de que o DHS pretende assumir a custódia da sua pessoa, caso seja libertad. O DHS pediu que a agência de aplicação da lei encarregada da sua atual detenção mantenha-o sob custódia durante, no máximo, 48 horas após o periodo em que seria libertado pelas autoridades estaduais ou municipais de aplicação da lei, de acordo com as respectivas acusações e penas criminais. Se o DHS nao assumir a sua custódia durante essas 48 horas adicionais, voce deverá entrar em contato com a agência custodiante (a agência de aplicação da lei ou qualquer outra entidade que esteja detendo-o no momento) para obter informações sobre sua libertação da custódia estadual ou municipal. Caso voce tenha alguma reclamação a fazer sobre esta ordem de custódia imigratória ou relacionada a violações dos seus direitos ou liberdades civis decorrente das atividades do DHS, entre em contato com o Joint Intake Center, que seja o Centro de Entrada Conjunta da Agência de Controle de Imigração e Alfândega (ICE, pela sigla americana) pelo telefone 1-877-246-8253. Se você acreditar que é cidadao dos EUA ou está sendo vítima de um crime, informe ao DHS, ligando para o Law Enforcement Support Center, que seja o Centro de Apoio para Aplicação da Lei do ICE pelo telefone de ligação gratuita (855) 448-6903.

#### THÔNG BÁO CHO NGƯỜI ĐANG BỊ GIAM

Bộ An ninh Nội địa Mỹ (DHS) có lệnh giam giữ ông/bà vì lý do liên quan đến luật di trú. Lệnh giam giữ vì lý do liên quan đến luật di trú là thông báo của DHS cho các cơ quan thi hành luật pháp là DHS có ý định dành thẩm quyền để tạm giữ ông/bà (sau khi ông/bà được thả). Lý do là, theo luật di trú của liên bang Mỹ, DHS có lý do chính đáng để xếp ông/bà vào diện có thể bị trục xuất ra khỏi Mỹ. DHS đã yêu cầu cơ quan thi hành luật pháp, nơi đang giam ông/bà, phải tiếp tục giam ông/bà thêm cho đến tối đa không được quá 48 tiếng đồng hồ, thời điểm mà ông/bà coi như đã được thả, căn cứ vào lời buộc tội hoặc bản án kết tội của tòa. Nếu trong vòng 48 tiếng đồng hồ bổ sung này mà DHS không đến nhận ông/bà, thì ông/bà nên liên lạc với nhân viên quản lý của mình (nơi đang giam giữ ông/bà) để biết chi tiết về vấn đề được thả ra khỏi nhà giam. Nếu ông/bà có thắc mắc hoặc khiếu nại về lệnh tạm giữ này, xin liên lạc với ICE ERO Detention Reporting and Information Line ở số (888) 351-4024. Nếu ông/bà có phàn nàn về các hoạc động, công tác của DHS mà ông/bà cho là có vị phạm đến dân quyền hoặc tự do dân quyền, xin liên lạc Joint Intake Center ở số (877) 2INTAKE (877-246-8253). Nếu ông/bà tin rằng mình có quốc tịch Mỹ, hoặc mình là nạn nhân trong vụ tội, xin gọi ICE Law Enforcement Support Center ở số điện thoại miễn phí (855) 448-6903 để báo cho DHS biết.

#### 對扣留者的通告

美国国土安全部(DHS)已發出一張扣留令,對你進行扣留。 移民扣留令的目的是告訴执法機關現在DHS 有權力扣押你(在你被关押的部门释放之後)因为根据美国联邦移民法,我們有頗能成立的因由可將你遺送出境。DHS 已向扣留你的有關執法機關提出要求在你刑事控罪及定罪後被释放的48小時內對你继续進行扣留。如果在這48小时內DHS没有扣押你,那你可以联络你的保管人(现关押你的部门)查詢有關你释放的事。 如果你對這扣留令有任何问题或投诉,请联络ICE ERO 拘留报告信息熟线(888)351-4024。任何有關DHS涉嫌违反民权或民权自由行為的投訴,请联系美国移民及海关执法局联合接待中心(ICE Joint Intake Center)(877)2INTAKE(877-246-8253)。如果你相信你是美国公民或是受害者,请联系美国移民及海关执法局的执法支援中心(ICE Law Enforcement Support Center)告知DHS,其免费电话号码是(855)448-6903。



# **EXHIBIT D**

# **DETAINER FORM I-247N**

# DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY (DHS)

# REQUEST FOR VOLUNTARY NOTIFICATION OF RELEASE OF SUSPECTED PRIORITY ALIEN

Subject ID: Event #:			File No: Date:
TO: (Name and Title of Institution - OR Any Subseque Enforcement Agency)	ent Law	FROM: (DHS Offic	e Address)
Name of Subject:			
Date of Birth: So	uspected Citizenship	o:	Sex:
1 DHS SUSPENIENT PRIORITY RESULTED.  The bound of the control of t			TO SUBJECT IS AN HAUGRATION  A section 2):  The property of th
has been convicted of an offense of which a 521(a), or is at least 16 years old and intentious has been convicted of an offense classified as	n element was active ponally participated in a	participation in a can organized crimi	criminal street gang, as defined in 18 U.S.C. § inal gang to further its illegal activities;
alien's immigration status;  has been convicted of an aggravated felony,		- Table	
has been convicted of a "significant misdeme			
□ has been convicted of 3 or more misdemear immigration status was an essential element			
☐ Upon completion of the proceeding or invest custody of the subject to complete processing	The state of the s	subject was transf	erred to your custody, DHS intends to resume
an opportunity to determine whether there is notification request does not request or a scheduled for release from your custody.	least 48 hours, if possi probable cause to conduthorize that you deta This request arises f	clude that he or sh ain the subject be from DHS authori	
<ul> <li>As early as possible prior to the time you off Customs Enforcement (ICE) or □ U.S. Customs</li> </ul>	oms and Border Prote	ction (CBP) at	se notify DHS by calling □ U.S. Immigration and
contact the ICE Law Enforcement Support C			HS official at the number(s) provided, please
Notify this office in the event of the subject's	death, hospitalization	or transfer to anot	ther institution.
☐ If checked: Please disregard the notification	n request related to th	is subject previou	usly submitted to you on (date).
(Name and title of Immigration Officer)	)		(Signature of Immigration Officer)
<b>Notice:</b> If the subject is taken into DHS custody, crime, or if you want the subject to remain in the Support Center at (802) 872-6020. You may als	United States for a law	w enforcement pu	rpose, please notify the ICE Law Enforcement
TO BE COMPLETED BY THE LAW ENFORCE	CEMENT AGENCY (	CURRENTLY HO	OLDING THE SUBJECT OF THIS NOTICE:
Please provide the information below, sign, and			
Local Booking/Inmate #: Est. relea	ase date/time:	Date o	f latest criminal charge/conviction:
Latest offense charged/convicted:			
AL			
(Name and title of Officer)			(Signature of Officer)